not yet done so in C 10-00387-JW.

Rosen v. Unilever United States, Inc.

1

Doc. 55

Motions to Dismiss. Accordingly, the Court STAYS discovery in both cases pending resolution of the Motions to Dismiss.² The stay is without prejudice to the parties moving for an extension of the discovery cutoff in C 09-02563-JW, should they find it necessary after the Court has ruled on the Motions to Dismiss. In light of the stay, the Court VACATES the Case Management Conference.³

United States District Judge

Dated: April 21, 2010

² In light of this Order, the Court DENIES as moot Defendants' Motion to Stay Discovery and Defendants' Administrative Motion to Expedite Briefing Schedule for Defendant Unilever United States, Inc.'s Motion to Stay Discovery. (C 10-00387-JW, Docket Item Nos. 50, 53.)

 $^{^3}$ The Court also declines Defendants' request to consolidate the cases and appoint lead class counsel prior to ruling on the Motions to Dismiss. (See Joint Statement at 9-10.)

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT COPIES OF THIS ORDER HAVE BEEN DELIVERED TO: Gregory Steven Weston greg@westonfirm.com Janelle Jad Sahouria jsahouria@mofo.com Jared Harrison Beck jared@beckandlee.com John Joseph Fitzgerald jack@westonfirm.com Kim E. Richman eli@reeserichman.com Michael Robert Reese michael@reeserichman.com William Lewis Stern wstern@mofo.com **Dated: April 21, 2010** Richard W. Wieking, Clerk /s/ JW Chambers By:___ Elizabeth Garcia **Courtroom Deputy**