

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

JOSEPH CIAMPI,
Plaintiff,
v.
CITY OF PALO ALTO, et al.,
Defendants.


) Case No.: C 09-2655 LHK (PVT)
)
) **FURTHER INTERIM ORDER RE**
) **PLAINTIFF’S MOTION TO COMPEL**
) **(Re: Docket No. 55)**
)
)
)
)

On November 12, 2010, this court issued an order soliciting a response from Plaintiff to Defendants filed the Declaration of Andrew Hinz in Opposition to Motion for Order to Compel Defendants and Defendant Burns to Produce and Provide Discovery. Plaintiff has filed his response. Having reviewed Plaintiff’s response, the court finds it appropriate to seek further briefing from Defendants. Therefore,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants shall file a response to Plaintiff’s response to the Hinz Declaration.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the hearing on Plaintiff’s motion to compel is CONTINUED to December 7, 2010.

Dated: 11/19/10


PATRICIA V. TRUMBULL
United States Magistrate Judge

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Counsel automatically notified of this filing via the court's Electronic Case Filing system.

copies mailed on 11/19/10 to:

Joseph Ciampi
P.O. Box 1681
Palo Alto, CA 94302

/s/ Donna Kirchner for
OSCAR RIVERA
Courtroom Deputy