

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

NATALI, INC. dba SAN FRANCISCO)
BADLANDS,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
v.)
T.J. BRUCE, et al.,)
)
Defendants.)

Case No.: C 09-3298 PVT
**ORDER CONTINUING CASE
MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE AND
SETTING DEADLINE FOR PLAINTIFF TO
FILE A REQUEST FOR ENTRY OF DEFAULT
IF DEFENDANTS DO NOT FILE RESPONSIVE
PLEADINGS**

On December 7, 2009, Plaintiff filed a Case Management Conference Statement in which it indicated that the Defendants had recently been served, and that responsive pleadings were expected to be filed by December 15, 2009. The court continued the Case Management Conference to allow time for the responsive pleadings to be filed. On January 18, 2010, Plaintiff filed a Case Management Conference Statement in which it indicated that the Defendants had “recently” been served, and that responsive pleadings were expected to be filed by January 26, 2010. The court again continued the Case Management Conference to allow time for the responsive pleadings to be filed. On February 11, 2010, Plaintiff again filed a Case Management Conference Statement in which it inexplicably indicated that the Defendants had “recently” been served, and that responsive pleadings were expected to be filed by January 26, 2010 [sic]. Based on the file herein,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Case Management Conference is CONTINUED to

1 March 9, 2010. The parties shall file a *Joint* Case Management Conference Statement no later than
2 March 2, 2010.

3 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, if Defendants have not filed responsive pleadings by
4 February 23, 2010, then Plaintiff shall file a request for entry of default no later than February 25,
5 2010.

6 Dated: 2/12/10

7 
8 PATRICIA V. TRUMBULL
9 United States Magistrate Judge
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28