## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Martha Toledo	
Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. 5:09-cv-03318-HRL
v. Financial Recovery Services, Inc.	STIPULATION AND PROPERTY ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Defendant(s).	
Counsel report that they have met and confollowing stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 a	ferred regarding ADR and have reached the and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to participate in the following A	DR process:
Court Processes:  Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.F. Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)  (Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement appreciably more likely to meet their needs than a ADR phone conference and may not file this form ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-6  Private Process:  Private ADR (please identify process)	ADR L.R. 5)  at conference with a Magistrate Judge is any other form of ADR, must participate in an any. They must instead file a Notice of Need for 8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
The parties agree to hold the ADR session by:  the presumptive deadline (The dead referring the case to an ADR procedure)  other requested deadline	dline is 90 days from the date of the order ess unless otherwise ordered.)
Dated: 11/3/09	/s/ Nicholas J. Bontrager Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: 11/3/09	/s/ W. Jason Scott Attorney for Defendant

## NPROPRINTED ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

**X** Mediation

Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

X 90 days from the date of this order. other

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 4, 2009

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

HOWARD R. LLOYD