

1 Dennis Brown, CSBN 70284
Henry Chuang, CSBN 250628
2 111 North Market Street, Suite 1010
San Jose, CA 95113

E-Filed 8/13/09

3 Attorneys for Defendants:
4 Hyundai Syscomm Corporation, Hyundai Syscomm Inc., Apro Media Corporation, Hirsch Group,
5 LLLP, Hirsch Capital Corporation, Hyundai RFMon, Samuel Lee, Jack Choe, David Choe,
Benjamin Byun, Wallace Benjamin Garst, Jr.

6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 NORTHERN DISTRICT COURT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

10 MSGI SECURITY SOLUTIONS, INC., a New
York Corporation
11
12 Plaintiffs,
v.

CASE NO.: C 09-03330-RS
STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO
RESPOND TO PLAINTIFFS COMPLAINT

[L.R. 6-1(a)]

13 HYUNDAI SYSCOMM CORPORATION, a
California corporation; HYUNDAI SYSCOMM
14 INC., a Korean Company; APRO MEDIA
CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation;
15 APRO MEDIA CO., LTD, a Korean Company;
16 HIRSCH GROUP LLLP, a Virginia Limited
Liability Company; HIRSCH CAPITAL
17 CORPORATION, a California CorporatiOn;
18 HYUNDAI RFMON CORPORATION, a
California Corporation; SAMUEL LEE; JACK
19 CHOE; BENJAMIN BYUN; WALLACE
BENJAMIN GARST, JR.; DAVID CHOE;
20 AND does 1 through 50;

Judge: Honorable Richard Seeborg

Complaint Filed: July 21, 2009
Trial Date:

21 Defendants.

22
23 TO ALL PARTIES HEREIN AND THEIR RESPECTIVE ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

24 This stipulation is made pursuant to Local Rule 6-1(a) and is made between Plaintiff MSGI
25 Security Solutions, Inc. (hereinafter "MSGI") and Defendants APRO MEDIA CORPORATION,
26 HYUNDAI SYSCOMM, CORPORATION, HYUNDAI SYSCOMM INC., HIRSCH CAPITAL
27 CORPORATION, HYUNDAI RFMON CORPORATION, WALLACE BENJAMIN GARST, JR.,
28

1 DAVID CHOE, HIRSCH GROUP LLP (collectively known as “Served Defendants”) who have
2 been properly or purportedly served on or after July 23, 2009, by and through their respective
3 counsel. The parties herein, agree and stipulate as follows:

- 4 A. On or about July 21, 2009, this action was filed with the Northern District of
5 California, San Jose Division.
6
7 B. On or about July 23, 2009, Plaintiff MSGI attempted to or has stated that it has
8 attempted to serve several of the defendants.
9
10 C. On or about August 7, 2009, the Served Defendants contacted Plaintiff MSGI in
11 regards to an extension of time to respond.
12
13 D. On or about August 7, 2009, Plaintiff’s counsel agreed to extend the time to respond to
14 the Complaint in the instant Court up to and included August 21, 2009. Defendants
15 specifically reserve the right to raise any issues in regards to service and jurisdiction.
16
17 E. This stipulation does not alter the date of any event or any deadline already fixed by
18 the Court.

19 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the parties to the above-entitled actions,
20 through their respective counsel:

- 21 1. The parties agree that the Served Defendants have up to and including August 21, 2009
22 to respond to Plaintiff’s Complaint

23
24 Dated: August 11, 2009

GCA LAW PARTNERS LLP

25
26 By /s/ _____

27 Kathryn C. Curry
28 Attorneys for MSGI SECURITY SOLUTIONS,

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

INC.

Dated: August 11, 2009

LAW OFFICES OF DENNIS D. BROWN

BY _____ /s/ _____

Dennis D. Brown
Attorneys for Served Defendants

AS GOOD CAUSE EXISTS, IT IS SO ORDERED:

8/13/09
Dated: _____



Honorable Judge Richard Seeborg