1 2 3 4 5	DONALD LIVINGSTON WILLIAM ALLEN (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD L 1333 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036 Tel: (202) 887-4000 Fax: (202) 887-4288 Email: dlivingston@akingump.com ballen@akingump.com	 TERESA W. GHALI (SBN 252961) AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP 580 California Street, Suite 1500 San Francisco, CA 94104 Tel: 415-765-9500 Fax: 415-765-9510 Email: tghali@akingump.com 	
6 7	Attorneys for Defendant HOME DEPOT U.S.A., INC.		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
11	CADDIEL ODTIZ ANDDEW CONZALES	Cost No. C 00 02495 I HV	
12	GABRIEL ORTIZ, ANDREW GONZALES, LANDON MICKEY MILLER, AND JOE HUYNH, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,	Case No. C 09-03485 LHK STIPULATED REQUEST FOR	
13		EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT	
14	Plaintiffs,	AGREEMENT; [PROPOSED] ORDER	
15	v.	[Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f), Civ. L.R. 6-1(b), 6-2, 7-12.]	
16	HOME DEPOT U.S.A., INC.,	The Honorable Lucy H. Koh	
17	Defendant.		
18	After two mediation sessions before the Honorable Edward A. Infante (ret.), and significant		
19	efforts and negotiations following those sessio	ns, the parties reached an agreement on material terms,	
20	including provisions for class-wide equitable r	elief, monetary relief to the putative class members and	
21	named plaintiffs, and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs, subject to reaching a final agreement on all		
22	language of a class action settlement agreemen	nt. See Declaration of William F. Allen, ¶ 2. On July 7,	
23 24	2011, the parties filed a notice of settlement an	d jointly requested a stay of the pre-trial scheduling	
24 25	order to permit the parties time to draft a formal class action settlement agreement and the motion papers to present to present to the Court in connection with a motion for preliminary approval of the proposed agreement. <i>See</i> dkt. no. 63; Allen decl. at ¶ 3. On July 7, the Court stayed the pre-trial		
23 26			
20			
28	schedule and ordered the parties to file a motio	on for preliminary approval by August 31, 2011, or	
		1	
	STIPULATED REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIM AGREEMENT	IE TO FILE CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT Case No. C 09-03485 LHK	

prepare to file their class certification briefing on an expedited basis. *See* dkt. no. 64; Allen decl. at ¶ 4.

Since the Court's July 7, 2011 order, the parties have worked diligently to finalize the settlement agreement, draft the class notice, and draft the motion for preliminary approval. The parties have exchanged several drafts and held frequent discussions regarding the structure and terms of the settlement agreement, which is 29 pages long and proposes Rule 23(b)(2) and Rule 23(b)(3) classes. *See* Allen decl. at ¶ 5. The parties have also considered the impact of the Supreme Court's recent decision in *Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. v. Dukes*, 131 S.Ct. 2541, _____ U.S. ____ (June 20, 2011). *See id.* The full written agreement has been reviewed by defendant's counsel and is pending final review by Home Depot's Office of General Counsel. Defendant expects final review and approval to be completed by no later than Wednesday, September 7, 2011. *See id.* at ¶ 6.

Plaintiffs intend to file their motion for preliminary approval (which defendant's counsel has reviewed) on August 31, 2011 without a copy of the agreement. Plaintiffs will not be able to file the signed settlement agreement on the same day as the motion because the full written agreement is still pending final review by Home Depot's Office of General Counsel. Accordingly, in accordance with Civil Local Rules 6-1(b) and 6-2(a), the parties request a brief extension to supplement the motion for preliminary approval by filing the actual signed settlement agreement on or before September 7, 2011. to file the settlement agreement until September 7, 2011. Plaintiffs have contacted the Court's clerk and requested that the scheduled September 29, 2011 status conference be converted to a hearing on the unopposed motion for preliminary approval. The requested brief extension should not require rescheduling of that hearing, and will not alter the date of any other hearing or proceeding on the Court's calendar. *Id.* at ¶ 7; *see* Civ. Local R. 6-1(b).

On January 26, 2011, the Court issued a scheduling order modifying the pretrial schedule originally set by the Honorable James Ware in his order of February 25, 2010. Dkt. no. 50. In addition to the January 26, 2011 Case Management Order and the July 7, 2011 Stay Order, the following time modifications have been made by the Court, upon motion or by stipulation: (1) plaintiffs' motion for continuance of Case Management Conference (*see* dkt. no. 10); (2) stipulation to extension of time for Defendant to file answer to amended complaint (*see* dkt. no. 13); (3) stipulation to extend time for

1	mediation (<i>see</i> dkt. no. 36); (4) stipulation to extend time for taking Rule 30(b)(6) deposition (<i>see</i> dkt.	
2	No. 36); and (5) stipulation to extend time for expert disclosures pertaining to class certification (see	
3	dkt. No. 58). See Allen decl. at ¶ 8.	
4	A declaration from defendant's counsel William F. Allen in support of this stipulated request is	
5	enclosed. See Civ. Local R. 6-2(a).	
6	Datada August 21, 2011	THE LECAL AD SOCIETY ENDLOYMENT
7	Dated: August 31, 2011.	THE LEGAL AID SOCIETY-EMPLOYMENT LAW CENTER
8		SCHNEIDER WALLACE COTTRELL BRAYTON
9		KONECKY LLP
10		/s/ Jinny Kim
11		JINNY KIM
12		Attorneys for Plaintiffs Gabriel Ortiz, Andrew Gonzales, Landon Mickey
13		Miller, and Joe Huynh
14	Dated: August 31, 2011.	AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP
15	Dated. August 51, 2011.	AKIN OUMF STRAUSS HAUER & FELD EEF
16		
17		/s/ Teresa W. Ghali
18		TERESA W. GHALI
19		Attorneys for Defendant HOME DEPOT U.S.A., INC.
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		3
	STIPULATED REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF T	TME TO FILE CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT
	AGREEMENT	Case No. C 09-03485 LHK

1		
2	ECF CERTIFICATION	
3	Pursuant to General Order No. 45 X. (B), I attest that concurrence in the filing of this	
4	document has been obtained from Jinny Kim.	
5	Dated: August 31, 2011. /s/ Teresa W. Ghali	
6	TERESA W. GHALI	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	4	
	4 STIPULATED REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT Case No. C 09-03485 LHK	

DECLARATION OF WILLIAM F. ALLEN

I, William F. Allen, declare,

1. I am an attorney in good standing admitted *pro hac vice* to practice in the Northern District of California and am attorney of record for defendant Home Depot U.S.A., Inc. in *Ortiz v. Home Depot U.S.A., Inc.*, Case No. C 09-03485 LHK (N.D. Cal.). I make this declaration based on my own personal knowledge and, if called to do so, could and would competently so testify in court.

2. After two mediation sessions before the Honorable Edward A. Infante (ret.), and significant efforts and negotiations following those sessions, the parties reached an agreement on material terms, including provisions for class-wide equitable relief, monetary relief to the putative class members and named plaintiffs, and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs, subject to reaching a final agreement on all language of a class action settlement agreement.

3. On July 7, 2011, the parties filed a notice of settlement and jointly requested a stay of the pre-trial scheduling order to permit the parties time to draft a formal class action settlement agreement and the motion papers to present to present to the Court in connection with a motion for preliminary approval of the proposed agreement. *See* dkt. no. 63.

4. On July 7, the Court stayed the pre-trial schedule and ordered the parties to file a motion for preliminary approval by August 31, 2011, or prepare to file their class certification briefing on an expedited basis. *See* dkt. no. 64.

5. Since the Court's July 7, 2011 order, the parties have worked diligently to finalize the settlement agreement, draft the class notice, and draft the motion for preliminary approval. The parties have exchanged several drafts and held frequent discussions regarding the structure and terms of the settlement agreement, which is 29 pages long and proposes Rule 23(b)(2) and Rule 23(b)(3) classes. The parties have also considered the impact of the Supreme Court's recent decision in *Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. v. Dukes*, 131 S.Ct. 2541, ____U.S. ____(June 20, 2011).

6. The full written agreement has been reviewed by defendant's counsel and is pending
final review by Home Depot's Office of General Counsel. Defendant expects final review and
approval to be completed by no later than Wednesday, September 7, 2011.

7. Plaintiffs have informed me that they intend to file their motion for preliminary approval, which I have reviewed, on August 31, 2011 without a copy of the settlement agreement. Plaintiffs will not be able to file the signed settlement agreement today with the motion because the full written agreement is still pending final review by Home Depot's Office of General Counsel. The parties are requesting a brief extension of the deadline to supplement the motion for preliminary approval by filing the actual signed settlement agreement on or before September 7, 2011. The extension will not alter the date of any hearing or proceeding on the Court's calendar. Plaintiffs have contacted the Court's clerk and requested that the scheduled September 29, 2011 status conference be converted to a hearing on the unopposed motion for preliminary approval. The requested brief extension should not require rescheduling of that hearing, and will not alter the date of any other hearing or proceeding on the Court's calendar.

8. On January 26, 2011, the Court issued a scheduling order modifying the pretrial
schedule originally set by the Honorable James Ware in his order of February 25, 2010. Dkt. no. 50.
In addition to the January 26, 2011 Case Management Order and the July 7, 2011 Stay Order, the
following time modifications have been made by the Court, upon motion or by stipulation: (1)
plaintiffs' motion for continuance of Case Management Conference (*see* dkt. no. 10); (2) stipulation to
extension of time for Defendant to file answer to amended complaint (*see* dkt. no. 13); (3) stipulation
to extend time for mediation (*see* dkt. no. 36); (4) stipulation to extend time for taking Rule 30(b)(6)
deposition (*see* dkt. No. 36); and (5) stipulation to extend time for expert disclosures pertaining to class
certification (*see* dkt. No. 58).

9. Plaintiffs' counsel Jinny Kim has authorized defendant's counsel to sign the joint stipulated request on plaintiffs' behalf.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 31st day of August, 2011, in Washington, DC.

/s/William F. Allen William F. Allen

1	The parties stipulate that they are prepared to file a class action settlement agreement in this		
2	action by September 7, 2011.		
3	PURSUANT TO THE PARTIES' STIPULATED REQUEST FOR AN EXTENSION OF		
4	TIME, AND GOOD CAUSE SHOWN, IT IS SO ORDERED THAT:		
5	Plaintiffs shall file the settlement agreement on or before September 7, 2011.		
6			
7	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
8			
9	Dated: September 1, 2011		
10	Jucy H. Koh		
11	THE HONL UCY H. KOH United States District Judge		
12	United States District Judge		
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	7		
	STIPULATED REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT Case No. C 09-03485 LHK		