Ш

1 2 3 4 5	DONALD LIVINGSTON WILLIAM ALLEN (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD L 1333 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036 Tel: (202) 887-4000 Fax: (202) 887-4288 Email: dlivingston@akingump.com ballen@akingump.com	TERESA W. GHALI (SBN 252961) AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP 580 California Street, Suite 1500 San Francisco, CA 94104 Tel: 415-765-9500 Fax: 415-765-9510 Email: tghali@akingump.com
6 7	Attorneys for Defendant HOME DEPOT U.S.A., INC.	
8	UNITED STA	TES DISTRICT COURT
9	NORTHERN DIS	STRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
11		
12 13	GABRIEL ORTIZ, ANDREW GONZALES, LANDON MICKEY MILLER, AND JOE HUYNH, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,	Case No. C 09-03485 LHK STIPULATED REQUEST FOR AN ADDITIONAL WEEK TO FILE CLASS
13	Plaintiffs,	ACTION SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT; [PROPOSED] ORDER
15	v.	[Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f), Civ. L.R. 6-1(b), 6-2,
16	HOME DEPOT U.S.A., INC.,	7-12.]
17	Defendant.	The Honorable Lucy H. Koh
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		1
	STIPULATED REQUEST AN ADDITIONAL WEEK AGREEMENT	TO FILE CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT Case No. C 09-03485 LHK Dockets.Justia.com

On August 31, 2011, Plaintiffs filed their motion for preliminary approval of the proposed class action settlement in this case and the parties filed a stipulated request for additional time until September 7, 2011, to file the finalized and signed settlement agreement. *See* dkt. nos. 65 & 66. Plaintiffs' motion accurately represents the content of the parties' draft written agreement currently under final review by Home Depot's Office of General Counsel. *See* Allen decl. at ¶ 6. The motion is unopposed and set for hearing on September 29, 2011.

On September 2, 2011, the Court approved the parties' stipulated request for an extension of time to file the settlement agreement until September 7, 2011. *See* dkt. no. 71. However, due to logistical reasons, final review by Home Depot's Office of General Counsel is not expected to be complete until September 14, 2011. *See* Allen decl. at ¶ 5. Accordingly, in accordance with Civil Local Rules 6-1(b) and 6-2(a), the parties request a brief additional extension to file the signed settlement agreement on or before September 14, 2011, which is more than two weeks before the schedule hearing date on the motion for preliminary approval. As stated above, the motion is unopposed and the parties do not anticipate the filing of any further briefing, unless ordered by the Court. Thus, the requested brief extension should not require rescheduling of the preliminary approval hearing requested for September 29, 2011, and will not alter the date of any other hearing or proceeding on the Court's calendar. *Id.* at ¶ 7; *see* Civ. Local R. 6-1(b).

On January 26, 2011, the Court issued a scheduling order modifying the pretrial schedule originally set by the Honorable James Ware in his order of February 25, 2010. Dkt. no. 50. In addition to the January 26, 2011 Case Management Order, the July 7, 2011 Stay Order, and the August 31, 2011 stipulated extension of time, the following time modifications have been made by the Court, upon motion or by stipulation: (1) plaintiffs' motion for continuance of Case Management Conference (*see* dkt. no. 10); (2) stipulation to extension of time for Defendant to file answer to amended complaint (*see* dkt. no. 13); (3) stipulation to extend time for mediation (*see* dkt. no. 36); (4) stipulation to extend time for taking Rule 30(b)(6) deposition (*see* dkt. No. 36); and (5) stipulation to extend time for expert disclosures pertaining to class certification (*see* dkt. No. 58). *See* Allen decl. at ¶ 8.

1	A declaration from defendant's	s counsel William F. Allen in support of this stipulated request is
2	enclosed. See Civ. Local R. 6-2(a).	
3 4	Dated: September 7, 2011.	THE LEGAL AID SOCIETY-EMPLOYMENT LAW CENTER
5		SCHNEIDER WALLACE
6		COTTRELL BRAYTON KONECKY LLP
7		/s/ Joshua Konecky
8		JOSHUA KONECKY
9 10		Attorneys for Plaintiffs Gabriel Ortiz, Andrew Gonzales, Landon Mickey Miller, and Joe Huynh
10		
11	Dated: September 7, 2011.	AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD LLP
12		
14		
15		/s/ Teresa W. Ghali TERESA W. GHALI
16		Attorneys for Defendant
17		HOME DEPOT U.S.A., INC.
18		
19		
20		
21		
22	ECF CERTIFICATION	
23	Pursuant to General Order No. 45 X. (B), I attest that concurrence in the filing of this	
24	document has been obtained from Joshua Konecky.	
25	Dated: September 7, 2011.	
26		/s/ Teresa W. Ghali TERESA W. GHALI
27		
28		
		3
	STIPULATED REQUEST AN ADDITIONA AGREEMENT	L WEEK TO FILE CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT Case No. C 09-03485 LHK

DECLARATION OF WILLIAM F. ALLEN

I, William F. Allen, declare,

I am an attorney in good standing admitted *pro hac vice* to practice in the Northern
 District of California and am attorney of record for defendant Home Depot U.S.A., Inc. in *Ortiz v. Home Depot U.S.A., Inc.*, Case No. C 09-03485 LHK (N.D. Cal.). I make this declaration based on my
 own personal knowledge and, if called to do so, could and would competently so testify in court.

2. After two mediation sessions before the Honorable Edward A. Infante (ret.), and significant efforts and negotiations following those sessions, the parties reached an agreement on material terms, including provisions for class-wide equitable relief, monetary relief to the putative class members and named plaintiffs, and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs, subject to reaching a final agreement on all language of a class action settlement agreement.

3. On July 7, 2011, the parties filed a notice of settlement and jointly requested a stay of the pre-trial scheduling order to permit the parties time to draft a formal class action settlement agreement and the motion papers to present to present to the Court in connection with a motion for preliminary approval of the proposed agreement. *See* dkt. no. 63.

4. On July 7, the Court stayed the pre-trial schedule and ordered the parties to file a motion for preliminary approval by August 31, 2011, or prepare to file their class certification briefing on an expedited basis. *See* dkt. no. 64.

5. The full written agreement has been reviewed by defendant's counsel and is pending final review by Home Depot's Office of General Counsel. Due to logistical reasons, defendant expects final review and approval to be completed by no later than September 14, 2011.

6. On August 31, 2011, plaintiffs filed their motion for preliminary approval without a copy of the settlement agreement. Plaintiffs' motion accurately represents the content of the parties' draft written agreement currently under review by Home Depot's Office of General Counsel.

7. On September 2, the Court approved the parties' joint stipulated request for an
extension of time to file the settlement agreement until September 7, 2011. *See* dkt. no. 71. The
parties are requesting a brief additional extension of the deadline to supplement the motion for
preliminary approval by filing the signed settlement agreement on or before September 14, 2011,

which is just over two weeks before the schedule hearing date on the motion for preliminary approval.
 As stated above, the motion is unopposed and the parties do not anticipate the filing of any further
 briefing, unless ordered by the Court. Thus, the extension will not alter the date of any hearing or
 proceeding on the Court's calendar, including the September 29, 2011 preliminary approval hearing or
 any other hearing or proceeding on the Court's calendar.

8. On January 26, 2011, the Court issued a scheduling order modifying the pretrial schedule originally set by the Honorable James Ware in his order of February 25, 2010. Dkt. no. 50. In addition to the January 26, 2011 Case Management Order, the July 7, 2011 Stay Order, and the August 31, 2011 stipulated extension of time, the following time modifications have been made by the Court, upon motion or by stipulation: (1) plaintiffs' motion for continuance of Case Management Conference (*see* dkt. no. 10); (2) stipulation to extension of time for Defendant to file answer to amended complaint (*see* dkt. no. 13); (3) stipulation to extend time for mediation (*see* dkt. no. 36); (4) stipulation to extend time for taking Rule 30(b)(6) deposition (*see* dkt. No. 36); and (5) stipulation to extend time for expert disclosures pertaining to class certification (*see* dkt. No. 58).

9. Plaintiffs' counsel Joshua Konecky has authorized defendant's counsel to sign the joint stipulated request on plaintiffs' behalf.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 7th day of September, 2011, in Washington, DC.

/s/William F. Allen William F. Allen

1	The parties stipulate that they are prepared to file a class action settlement agreement in this	
2	action by September 14, 2011.	
3	PURSUANT TO THE PARTIES' STIPULATED REQUEST FOR AN EXTENSION OF	
4	TIME, AND GOOD CAUSE SHOWN, IT IS SO ORDERED THAT:	
5	Plaintiffs shall file the settlement agreement on or before September 14, 2011.	
6	Additional extensions will be disfavored.	
7	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
8		
9	Dated: September 13, 2011	
10	Jucy H. Koh	
11	THE HON, LUCY H. KOH	
12	United States District Judge	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	SATES DISTRICT	
24		
25	IT IS SO ORDERED	
26		
27	Z Lucy H. Koh	
28	Judge Lucy II. 19	
	6 STIPULATED REQUEST AN ADDITIONAL WEEK TO FILE CLASS ACTION SET VERSION	
	AGREEMENT Case 12. C D 02495 LHR OF	