

| 1 | IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by and between the parties hereto, that: (i) | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | Defendants may have until and including Monday, February 15, 2010 to respond to the Third | | | |
| 3 | Amended Complaint filed by Plaintiff in this matter; (ii) the Case Management Conference | | | |
| 4 | presently scheduled to be held on February 12, 2010 shall be postponed to a date to be re- | | | |
| 5 | noticed by the Court and/or the parties which the parties will mutually arrange by | | | |
| 6 | communication with the Court immediately following execution of this stipulation; and (iii) and | | | |
| 7 | the obligations of counsel pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a) and 26(f), and | | | |
| 8 | Local Rule 16, shall be postponed to dates consistent with the re-noticed Case Management | | | |
| 9 | Conference. | | | |
| 10 | GOOD CAUSE exists for this Stipulation, as follows: | | | |
| 11 | 1. On September 25, 2009, the Court held a hearing on various Motions to | | | |
| 12 | Dismiss pleadings in this case; | | | |
| 13 | 2. On December 14, 2009, the Court issued its Order Granting Defendants' | | | |
| 14 | Motions to Dismiss and Granting Plaintiff's Motion to Dismiss Ecocert's Counterclaim, | | | |
| 15 | providing that Plaintiff could file a Third Amended Complaint by January 13, 2010, and re- | | | |
| 16 | setting the Case Management Conference for February 12, 2010; | | | |
| 17 | 3. On January 13, 2010, Plaintiff filed its Third Amended Complaint, | | | |
| 18 | alleging two counts of false advertising under Section 43(a) of the Lanham Act against | | | |
| 19 | Defendants; and | | | |
| 20 | 4. The parties have agreed that Defendants may have until and including | | | |
| 21 | Monday, February 15, 2010, to respond to the allegations in the Third Amended Complaint. | | | |
| 22 | Accordingly, the parties request that the February 12 Case Management | | | |
| 23 | Conference be immediately rescheduled to a date consistent with this stipulation and | | | |
| 24 | compliance with the associated Rule 26(a) and 26(f) and Local Rule 16 obligations be | | | |
| 25 | | | | |
| 26 | | | | |
| 27 | | | | |
| 28 | STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION TO RESPOND TO THIRD 1 AMENDED COMPLAINT AND CONTINUANCE OF CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE; [PROPOSED] ORDER Civil Case No.: CV-09-3517 JF (HRL) | | | |

| 1 | rescheduled accordingly. | | |
|--------|--|---|--|
| 2 | | | |
| 3 4 | DATED: January 26, 2010 | | FARELLA BRAUN & MARTEL LLP SANDLER, REIFF & YOUNG PC |
| | | | |
| 5 | | | By: /s/ JOHN L. COOPER |
| 6 7 | | | Attorneys for Plaintiff ALL ONE GOD FAITH, INC., d/b/a DR. BRONNER'S MAGIC SOAPS |
| 8 | | | |
| 9 | | | COVINGTON & BURLING LLP |
| 10 | | | By: /s/ MARGARET D. WILKINSON |
| 11 | | | Attorneys for Defendants THE HAIN |
| 12 | | | CELESTIAL GROUP, INC.; KISS MY FACE CORPORATION; and LEVLAD, LLC |
| 13 | | | |
| 14 | | | GREENBERG TRAURIG LLP |
| 15 | | | By: /c/ |
| 16 | | | By: /s/ JAMES M. MATTESICH Attorneys for Defendant YSL |
| 17 | | | BEAUTE, INC. |
| 18 | | | LEWITT, HACKMAN, SHAPIRO, |
| 19 | | | MARSHALL & HARLAN LLP |
| 20 | | | By:/s/ |
| 21 | | | STEPHEN T. HOLZER Attorneys for Defendant GIOVANNI |
| 22 | | | COSMETICS, INC. |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | WESTRUP KLICK LLP |
| 25 | | | By: /s/ RHONDA KLICK |
| 26 | | | Attorneys for Defendant COSWAY COMPANY, INC. |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | ~ | |
| | STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION TO RESPOND TO THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT AND CONTINUANCE OF CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE; [PROPOSED] ORDER Civil Case No.: CV-09-3517 JF (HRL) | 2 | |

| 1 | HOWREY LLP |
|----------|---|
| 2 | AJ ROTONDI, PLLC |
| 3 | By: <u>/s/</u> BENJAMIN K. RILEY |
| 4 | BENJAMIN K. RILEY |
| 5 | By: <u>/s/</u> ANTHONY J. ROTONDI |
| 6 | Attorneys for Defendant COUNTRY |
| 7 | LIFE, L.L.C. |
| 8 | ROPERS, MAJESKI, KOHN & BENTLEY |
| 9 | |
| 10 11 | By: <u>/s/</u> THOMAS H. CLARKE, JR. Attorneys for Defendants |
| 12 | Attorneys for Defendants ECOCERT FRANCE (SAS) and ECOCERT, INC. |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | [PROPOSED] ORDER |
| 16 | [Local Rules 7-12 and 16-2 (e)] |
| 17 | PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. |
| 18 | |
| 19 | Date: 1/27/2010 |
| 20 | Hen Jeremy Fogel United States District Judge |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 0.5 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| | |

| 1 | ATTESTATION | | |
|----|---|--|--|
| 2 | I, Margaret D. Wilkinson, am the ECF user whose User ID and Password are | | |
| 3 | being used to file the STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION TO RESPOND TO THIRD | | |
| 4 | AMENDED COMPLAINT AND CONTINUANCE OF CASE MANAGEMENT | | |
| 5 | CONFERENCE and [PROPOSED] ORDER. In compliance with General Order 45.X.B, I | | |
| 6 | hereby attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from signatories | | |
| 7 | John L. Cooper, James M. Mattesich, Stephen T. Holzer, Rhonda Klick, Benjamin K. Riley, | | |
| 8 | Anthony J. Rotondi, and Thomas H. Clarke, Jr. | | |
| 9 | | | |
| 10 | DATED: January 26, 2010 /s/ | | |
| 11 | Margaret D. Wilkinson | | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | | | |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |
| | STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION TO RESPOND TO THIRD4AMENDED COMPLAINT AND CONTINUANCE OF CASEMANAGEMENT CONFERENCE; [PROPOSED] ORDERCivil Case No.: CV-09-3517 JF (HRL) | | |