I

1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
11			
12	JUAN CARLOS CUELLAR, et al.,) Case No.: CV 09-04047 PSG		
13	Plaintiffs,) ORDER DENYING AS MOOT MOTION TO COMPEL AND		
14	v.) DENYING MOTION FOR SANCTIONS		
15	ANTHONY FIDEL ALAMILLO., et al., (Re: Docket No. 53)		
16	Defendants.		
17)		
18	On February 15, 2011, Plaintiffs filed a motion to compel discovery and for sanctions		
19	pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 37. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-1(b), the motion may be		
20	determined without oral argument and the hearing scheduled to be held on March 22, 2011 is		
21	vacated.		
22	I. MOTION TO COMPEL		
23	On March 21, 2011, Plaintiffs confirmed that Defendants provided discovery responses		
24	without objections after the motion to compel was filed. The motion to compel, therefore, is		
25	DENIED as MOOT.		
26	II. MOTION FOR SANCTIONS		
27	Under Civ. L.R. 7-8, "any motion for sanctions must be separately filed." As		
28	Plaintiffs' motion for sanctions is included in its motion to compel, the motion for sanctions fails		
	Order, page 1		

1	to comply with the local rules. Plaintiffs' motion for sanctions is therefore DENIED.	
2	2 Dated: March 21, 2011	
3	3 Port	S. GREWAL
4	4 TAOL United	S. ORE WAL
5	5	
6	6	
7	7	
8	8	
9	9	
10	10	
11	11	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18 19		
20		
20		
22		
23		
24		
25	25	
26	26	
27		
28	28	
	-	