

E-Filed 12/11/2009

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION**

10 CINDY ANZALONE,
11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 NATIONWIDE CREDIT, INC.,
14 Defendant.

Case Number 5:09-CV-04252 JF (HRL)

**ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR
LEAVE TO APPEAR *PRO HAC
VICE***

Re: Docket No. 7

15
16
17
18 Richard J. Meier, counsel for Plaintiff in the above-captioned matter, seeks leave to
19 appear *pro hac vice* pursuant to Civil L.R. 11-3. Defendant Nationwide Credit (“Defendant”)
20 opposes the requested relief, contending that Meier may not appear *pro hac vice* because he is
21 “regularly engaged in the practice of law in the State of California.” Civil L.R. 11-3(b)(ii).
22 Defendant asserts that Meier has appeared in sixteen cases in California district courts since
23 2007, including four in the Northern District.¹ Objection at 1-2, citing Ex. 1. A determination as
24 to whether Meier’s previous appearances amount to “regular engagement in the practice of law”
25

26
27
28

¹ Meier’s requests to appear *pro hac vice* were granted in each of these cases. *Anastasia Vasquez v. Erica Brachfeld, P.C.*, No: 3:08-cv-05499, Dkt. 18; *Christine Sims v. NCO Financial Services*, No. 3:08-cv-05347, Dkt. 12; *Catalina Cruz v. CollectCorp Corporation*, No. 5:08-cv-03728, Dkt. 9; *Rick Angelini v. Co-Operative Adjustment Bureau*, No. 3:07-cv-05177, Dkt. 10.

1 is within the discretion of the Court. The Court accepts Plaintiff's representation that Meier has
2 appeared in more than two hundred matters nationwide since 2007 and that he currently is
3 working on dozens of contested cases in other states. *Compare* Reply at 1-2 (explaining that
4 Meier is the principal litigator of a national Fair Debt Collection Practices Act law firm and is
5 employed at Legal Helpers, P.C.'s national headquarters in Chicago, Illinois); *with Mendoza v.*
6 *Golden West Sav. Ass'n Services Co., Guguni v. Chertoff*, No. C 08-1850 JL, 2008 WL 2080788,
7 at *1 (N.D. Cal. May 14, 2008) (vacating *pro hac vice* where counsel's place of business was
8 located in California, he had appeared before the Northern District of California in five cases
9 over the past three years, and no mention was made of counsel's caseload outside the State of
10 California) and *Mendoza v. Golden West Sav. Ass'n Services Co.*, No. CV 09-1200 GAF
11 (VBKx), 2009 WL 2050486, at *2 (denying counsel's motion for leave to appear *pro hac vice*
12 and concluding that its "chief concern" was counsel's dishonesty in completing the *pro hac vice*
13 application). Meier's activities in California do not appear disproportionate under the
14 circumstances. Accordingly, leave to appear *pro hac vice* will be granted.

15
16 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

17
18 DATED: 10/11/09

19
20 
21 JEREMY FOGEL
22 United States District Judge
23
24
25
26
27
28