Case No. C06-07287

-1-

- 1. The parties shall not commence class certification discovery until after the Rule 26(f) conference. After such date, discovery shall be limited to class certification issues and prerequisites including full discovery on the named plaintiff's individual claims.
- 2. The parties anticipate that, at the class certification stage, Plaintiff will require no more than 10 depositions of fact witnesses; DCC will require no more than ten depositions of fact witnesses; and Lithia will require no more than ten deposition of fact witness. The depositions will commence after the Rule 26(f) conference and will be completed on or before September 14, 2007.
- 3. Plaintiff shall identify his expert witness(es), if any, on class certification issues and provide Rule 26(a)(2)(B) reports for those experts on or before July 16, 2007, and DCC and Lithia shall complete depositions of such experts on or before August 16, 2007.
- 4. DCC and Lithia shall identify their expert witness(es), if any, on class certification issues and provide Rule 26(a)(2)(B) reports for those experts on or before August 27, 2007, and Plaintiff shall complete depositions of such experts on or before September 27, 2007.
- 5. The parties shall work in good faith to schedule fact and any expert depositions at mutually agreeable places, dates and times.
 - 6. The parties must file motions to join additional parties on or before May 14, 2007.
 - 7. The parties must file motions to amend the pleadings on or before July 16, 2007.

- 8. Plaintiff shall file his brief in support of class certification together with all supporting affidavits and all other evidence on or before November 19, 2007.
- 9. DCC and Lithia shall file their briefs in opposition to class certification together with all supporting affidavits and all other evidence on or before December 19, 2007.
- 10. Plaintiff shall file his reply brief in support of class certification on or before January 14, 2008.
- 11. At the January 28, 2008 case management conference, the Court will schedule a hearing on the class certification motion.
- 12. If the Court denies certification, the Court will set a scheduling conference promptly thereafter to establish deadlines for the completion of discovery and trial for the individual action of the named-plaintiff.
- 13. If the Court grants class certification as to any part of the action, then counsel for the parties shall meet and confer about all issues concerning notice and shall present their joint plan for notice to the Court. If they are unable to agree, counsel shall simultaneously file their respective positions on any issue concerning notice.
- 14. After rendering its decision as to class certification and notice, the Court will set a further scheduling conference to address the completion of discovery.
- 15. The Court may modify this Scheduling Order, including at the request of any party hereto, upon good cause.

REED SMITH LLP A limited liability partnership formed in the State of Delaware	1 2	DATED:, 2007.	
	3	REED SMITH LLP	
	4		
	5	By Callal bottler	
	6	Stephen G. Blitch Julia C. Butler	
	7	BRYAN CAVE LLP Kathy A. Wisniewski (appearance <i>pro hac vice</i>)	
	8	John W. Rogers (appearance pro hac vice) Elizabeth Teutenberg Ferrick (appearance pro h	
	9	vice)	
	10	Attorneys for Defendant DaimlerChrysler Corporation	
	11	•	
	12	LEACH, McGREEVY & LABRADOR LLP	
	13		
	14	ByPeter C. Labrador (SBN 66507)	-
	15	Brian Leach (SBN 244744)	
	16	2833 Laguna Street San Francisco, CA 94123	
	17	Telephone: 415.775.4455 Facsimile: 415.775.7435	
	18	Attorneys for Defendant	
	19	Lithia DC, Inc.	
	20	CALIFORNIA LEMON LAWYERS	
	21		
	22	ByScott R. Kaufman	
	23	Kevin Faulk 1400 Coleman Ave, Suite F21	
	24	Santa Clara, CA 95050	
	25	Telephone: 408.727.8882 Facsimile: 408.727.8883	
	26	Attorneys for Plaintiff Stephen Smith	
	27		
	28		

Case No. C06-07287

4

Case No. C06-07287

REED SMITH LLP

REED SMITH LLP A limited liability partnership formed in the State of Delaware

[PROPOSED] ORDER

The parties' Joint Stipulation of Class Discovery Schedule is hereby adopted by the Court as
the Case Management Order for the case and the parties are ordered to comply with this Order.

DATED:	
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Case No. C06-07287 -1- DOCSOAK-9868673.