Solorio v. Alvarado		Doc. 19
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
11		
12	GUADALUPE SOLORIO,	Case No.: C 09-4537 PVT
13	Plaintiff,	ORDER CONTINUING CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE
14	v.) MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE
15	YILDA MEDINA ALVARADO d/b/a CLEAN TIL IT SQUEAKS AND	
16	PAINTING,	
17	Defendant.	
18		
19	On March 16, 2010, Plaintiff's counsel appeared before Magistrate Judge Patricia V.	
20	Trumbull for a Further Case Management Conference. Defense counsel failed to appear. The court	
21	continued the Case Management Conference to March 30, 2010. On March 19, 2010, the parties	
22	filed, and the court issued a stipulation and order selecting mediation, with the mediation to be held	
23	within 90 days of that order.	
24	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Case Management Conference is CONTINUED to June	
25	29, 2010. The parties shall file a Joint Case Management Conference Statement no later than June	
26	22, 2010. Failure to timely file a Joint Case Management Conference Statement (or a separate	
27	statement if opposing counsel fails to participate in preparation of a statement) may well result in	
28	sanctions and possibly an order to show cause why the case should not be dismissed (or default	
	Order, page 1	

entered if it is the Defendant who fails to file a statement) for failure to prosecute (or defend) the action.1 Dated: 3/30/10 United States Magistrate Judge The parties have failed to file *any* Case Management Conference Statement in this matter, despite the fact the Case Management Conference has been held twice. The court notes that counsel for Plaintiff often files Case Management Conference Statements after the scheduled deadlines. Counsel for Plaintiff is cautioned that failure to timely file Case Management Conference Statements in the future, in this or any other case, may well result in order to show cause why the particular case should

not be dismissed for failure to prosecute.