Textscape, I	_	LC v. Google,	Inc.

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DIS	STRICT COURT
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT	OF CALIFORNIA
10	JONATHAN GROCE and SUSAN HOROWITZ, on	
11	behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,	Case No. 09-cv-0139 MEJ
12	Plaintiffs,	
13	vs.	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO
14		CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD BE RELATED
15	NETFLIX, INC., WAL-MART STORES, INC., and WALMART.COM USA LLC,	SHOULD BE KELATED
16	Defendants.	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	1 [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION T	O CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD BE RELATED
		Dockets.Just

1	On January 12, 2009, Plaintiffs in Groce, et al. v. Netflix, Inc., et al., Case No. 09-cv-0139				
2	MEJ, filed an Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Cases Should Be Related, pursuant to				
3	Local Rule 3-12. The Court, having considered the papers and pleadings on file, and good cause				
4	appearing, HEREBY GRANTS the motion.				
5	IT IS ORDERED that Groce, et al. v. Netflix, Inc., et al., Case No. 09-cv-0139 MEJ, is hereby				
6	related to Resnick, et al. v. Walmart.com USA LLC, Case No. 09-cv-0096 PJH.				
7	Groce, et al. v. Netflix, Inc., et al. shall be reassigned to the undersigned judge pursuant to				
8	local Rule 3-12(f).				
9					
10	Dated:				
11	By:				
12	The Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton United States District Judge				
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19 20					
20 21					
21					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	2				
	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD BE RELATED				