1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BENJAMIN W. BULL Arizona State Bar No. 009940 GARY S. McCALEB (PHV) Arizona State Bar No. 018848 ELIZABETH A. MURRAY (PHV) Arizona State Bar No. 022954 Alliance Defense Fund 15333 N. Pima Rd., Suite 165 Scottsdale, AZ 85260 Phone: (480) 444-0020 Fax: (480) 444-0028 ROBERT H. TYLER Alliance Defense Fund		
10	California State Bar No. 179572		
10	38760 Sky Canyon Drive, Suite B Murietta, CA 92563		
12	Phone: (951) 461-7860 Fax: (951) 461-9056		
13	TERRY L. THOMPSON		
14	Law Offices of Terry L. Thompson California State Bar No. 199870 P.O. Box 1346 Alamo, CA 94507 Phone (925) 855-1507 Fax: (925) 820-6034 (designated local counsel)		
15			
16			
17			
18 19	Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
20	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
21	FAITH CENTER CHURCH		
22	EVANGELISTIC MINISTRIES, et al.,	CASE NO. C-04-3111 JSW	
23	Plaintiffs,	PLAINTIFFS' SECOND UNOPPOSED	
24	V.	MOTION TO EXTEND THE DEADLINE FOR EARLY NEUTRAL EVALUATION	
25	FEDERAL D. GLOVER, et al.,		
26	Defendants.		
27			
28			
		1	

Under ADR Local Rule 5-5, Plaintiffs, through their counsel, move for leave of Court to extend the deadline for conducting an Early Neutral Evaluation ("ENE") Session. The parties are currently scheduled for an ENE session on June 22, 2005. Defendants recently informed Plaintiffs that they intend to file a Notice of Appeal in this case as a result of this Court's Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion for a Preliminary Injunction. Consequently, the parties do not believe that ENE will "deliver benefits to the parties sufficient to justify the resources consumed by its use" at this point in the litigation. *See* ADR Local Rule 3-2.

The parties respectfully request that the deadline of June 22, 2005 to conduct an ENE session be postponed until 30 days after the expiration of any time period for appeal of the preliminary injunction, or, if the preliminary injunction order is appealed as Defendants currently intend, until 30 days after all appeals of the preliminary injunction order are completed in this case, whichever is later.

While ADR Local Rule 5-5 states that a motion to extend an ENE deadline shall be made no later than 15 days before the session is to be held, the parties request that the Court waive this requirement because the consideration prompting this motion is a recent development. Also, Plaintiffs notified the Evaluator that they would be filing a motion concerning the ENE date, in order to avoid the needless expenditure of the Evaluator's time in preparation for the June 22, 2005 ENE session.

In addition, Plaintiffs request that the Court waive the requirement under Local Rule 7-2 that this motion be noticed for hearing not less than 35 days after service of the motion. Due to the timing of the ENE session, the Court's recent order, and Defendants' decision to appeal, Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court rule on this matter prior to the June 22, 2005 ENE session.

The parties concur in this request.

For these reasons, Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court grant this second unopposed motion to extend the deadline for ENE.

1	$\mathbf{D}_{\text{result}} = \mathbf{f}_{\text{result}} + \mathbf{f}_{res$
2	Respectfully submitted this 13 th of June, 2005.
3	By: <u>/s/ Elizabeth A. Murray</u> Attorney for Plaintiffs
4	Attorney for Plaintiffs
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22 23	
23 24	
24	
26	
27	
28	
	2