1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MELINDA S. RIECHERT, State Bar No. 63 KATHRYN M. DANCISAK, State Bar No. MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP 2 Palo Alto Square 3000 El Camino Real, Suite 700 Palo Alto, CA 94306-2122 Tel: 650.843.4000 Fax: 650.843.4001 E-mail: mriechert@morganlewis.com Attorneys for Defendant ARAMARK Sports, LLC (improperly name ARAMARK CORPORATION) STEVEN PAUL COHN, State Bar No. 9680 ADVOCACY CENTER FOR EMPLOYME 2084 Alameda Way San Jose, CA 95126 Tel: 408.557.0300	259392 d as	
11	Fax: 408.557.0309 E-mail: spcohnlaw@aol.com		
12	D-man. <u>specianaw(waoi.com</u>		
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
15			
16	ALBERT NGUYEN-PHOUC,	Case No. C 09-04879 JW	
17	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [REODER TO]	
18	VS.	ORDER MODIFYING ADR DEADLINE	
19 20	ARAMARK CORPORATION; and DOES 1 THROUGH 50, inclusive;		
21	Defendants.		
22	Plaintiff Albert Nguyen-Phouc ("Plaintiff") and Defendant ARAMARK Sports, LLC		
23		MARK Corporation), the parties to the above-	
24	entitled action (collectively referred to herein	as the "Parties"), submit this Stipulation to the	
25	Court:		
26	<u>STIPULATION</u>		
27	WHEREAS, on January 20, 2010, the Court issued an Order Selecting ADR Process in		
28	the above-entitled action ("Order");		
œ.	DB2/21580679.1	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER MODIFYING ADD DEADLINE	

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW
PALO ALTO

1	WHEREAS, the Court's Order approved the stipulation between the Parties to participate		
2	in an Early Neutral Evaluation;		
3	WHEREAS, according to the Court's Order, the deadline for the Parties to hold an Early		
4	Neutral Evaluation is 90 days from the date of the order, or April 20, 2010;		
5	WHEREAS, the initial Case Management Conference is set for April 26, 2010;		
6	WHEREAS, the Parties will not have sufficient time to complete initial written discovery		
7	and depositions before the currently scheduled deadline to participate in an Early Neutral		
8	Evaluation;		
9	WHEREAS, on March 2, 2010, the Parties participated in a pre-Evaluation telephone		
10	conference with the assigned Evaluator, during which the Parties and the Evaluator agreed that it		
11	would be beneficial for settlement purposes if the Parties completed Plaintiff's deposition prior to		
12	participating in an Early Neutral Evaluation;		
13	WHEREAS, the Parties and the assigned Evaluator set the Early Neutral Evaluation for		
14	May 5, 2010, pending the Court's approval of the extension of the deadline to participate in the		
15	Early Neutral Evaluation;		
16	WHEREAS, the Parties have not previously requested any extensions of the deadlines set		
17	forth in the Court's Order; and		
18	WHEREAS, for good cause and to promote settlement and avoid prejudice that would		
19	result to both Parties if the deadline to participate in an Early Neutral Evaluation is not revised,		
20	the Parties jointly request an extension of the deadline to participate in an Early Neutral		
21	Evaluation set forth in the Court's Order;		
22	NOW, THEREFORE, Plaintiff and ARAMARK, through their undersigned respective		
23	counsel, stipulate and request that the Court approve the following revised deadline:		
24	5/20/2010 Last day for Parties to participate in an Early Neutral Evaluation		
25	To avoid prejudice to both Parties, GOOD CAUSE exists to modify the deadline in this		
26	action as described herein.		
27			

DB2/21580679.1

1	Dated: March 5, 2010 ADVOCACY CENTER FOR EMPLOYMENT LAW
2	
3	By Steven P. Cohn
4	Attorneys for Plaintiff ALBERT NGUYEN-PHOUC
5	Dated: March 5, 2010 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
б	
7	By /s/ Kathryn M. Dancisak
8	Attorneys for Defendant ARAMARK Sports, LLC (improperly named as ARAMARK Corporation)
9	named as ARAMARK Corporation)
10	
11	
12	<u>order</u>
13	In light of the foregoing STIPULATION of the Parties and good cause appearing, the
14	Court ORDERS the following revised deadline in this case:
15	5/20/2010 Last day for Parties to participate in an Early Neutral Evaluation
16	To avoid prejudice to both Parties, GOOD CAUSE exists to modify the deadline in this
17	action as described herein.
18	
19	Dated: March 12, 2010
20	How James Ware United States District Court Judge
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28 MORGAN, LUWIS & BOCKIUS LLP ATTORNETS AT LAW FALO ALTO	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER DB2/21580679.1 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER MODIFYING ADR DEADLINE CASE NO. C 09-04879 IW