

** E-filed January 20, 2010 **

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Albert Nguyen-Phouc

CASE NO. C 09-04879 HRL

Plaintiff(s),

v.

STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~
ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS

ARAMARK Corporation; and DOES 1
through 50, inclusive;

Defendant(s).

Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:

The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process:

Court Processes:

- Non-binding; Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4)
- ✓ Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)
- Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)

(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably more likely to meet their needs than any other form of ADR, must participate in an ADR phone conference and may not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)

Private Process:

Private ADR (please identify process and provider) _____

The parties agree to hold the ADR session by:

- ✓ the presumptive deadline (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order referring this case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)


other requested deadline _____

Dated: 1/19/10

Dated: 1/19/10



Attorney for Plaintiff



Attorney for Defendant

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

- Non-binding Arbitration
- Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)
- Mediation
- Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

- 90 days from the date of this order.
- other

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 20, 2010



UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
HOWARD R. LLOYD