

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

E-FILED 12-14-2009

NOT FOR CITATION
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

BRENDA NEAL,

No. C09-05090 HRL

Plaintiff,

v.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE SETTLEMENT

ACT CONTROL TECHNOLOGY, INC.,

Defendant.

The court is informed that this action has settled. In light of the settlement, all trial and pretrial dates have been vacated.

On or before February 12, 2010, plaintiff shall file a dismissal pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 41(a). If a dismissal is not filed by the specified date, plaintiff shall appear in Courtroom 2, 5th Floor of the United States District Court, 280 South First Street, San Jose, CA 95113 on **February 23, 2010, 10:00 a.m.** and show cause, if any, why the case should not be dismissed pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 41(a). Plaintiff shall file a statement in response to this Order to Show Cause **no later than February 16, 2010**. The statement shall advise as to (1) the status of the activities of the parties in finalizing settlement; and (2) how much additional time, if any, is requested to finalize the settlement and file the dismissal. If a voluntary dismissal is filed as ordered, the Order to Show Cause hearing will be automatically vacated and plaintiff need not file a statement in response to this Order.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 14, 2009



HOWARD R. LLOYD
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

5:09-cv-5090-HRL Notice will be electronically mailed to:
Ryan Scott Lee rlee@consumerlawcenter.com, akrohn@consumerlawcenter.com,
ppalomo@consumerlawcenter.com
Counsel are responsible for distributing copies of this document to co-counsel who have
not registered for e-filing under the court's CM/ECF program.