

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RAUL UVALLES,)	No. C 09-5221 RMW (PR)
)	
Plaintiff,)	ORDER PROVIDING
)	PLAINTIFF NOTICE AND
v.)	WARNING
)	
FRANCISCO JACQUEZ, et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
_____)	

Plaintiff, a California prisoner pro se, filed this civil rights action under 42 U.S.C. 1983. Defendants have filed a motion for summary judgment. Pursuant to Woods v. Carey, No. 09-15548, slip op. 7871, 7884-85 (9th Cir. July 6, 2012), plaintiff must read the following “NOTICE -- WARNING (SUMMARY JUDGMENT),” which is provided to him for a second time pursuant to Rand v. Rowland, 154 F.3d 952, 953-954 (9th Cir. 1998) (en banc), and Klinge v. Eikenberry, 849 F.2d 409, 411-12 (9th Cir. 1988).

NOTICE -- WARNING
(SUMMARY JUDGMENT)

If defendants move for summary judgment, they are seeking to have your case dismissed. A motion for summary judgment under Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure will, if granted, end your case.

Rule 56 tells you what you must do in order to oppose a motion for summary judgment. Generally, summary judgment must be granted when there is no genuine issue of material