1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION
11	
12	QUALITY INVESTMENT PROPERTIES) Case No.: C 09-5376 JF (PSG) SANTA CLARA, LLC,)
13	ORDER Soliciting Further Plaintiff, Documentation Regarding
14	 ATTORNEYS FEES INCURRED BY PLAINTIFF IN CONNECTION WITH
15) MOTION FOR BLANKET PROTECTIVE SERRANO ELECTRIC, INC., et al.,) ORDER
16) Defendants.
17) On Optohon 27, 2010, this court entered on order directing Defendent Detersor Device
18	On October 27, 2010, this court entered an order directing Defendant Peterson Power
19 20	Systems, Inc. ("Peterson") to reimburse Plaintiff for the reasonable attorneys fees it incurred in connection with its motion for a blanket protective order. The order provided that, in the event the
20 21	parties could not agree on the amount of fees, Plaintiff could file a declaration setting forth the
21	amount of fees incurred and Peterson could file a response. On November 16, 2010, Plaintiff timely
22	filed a declaration summarizing the amount of fees incurred in connection with its motion for a
24	blanket protective order. ¹ Peterson filed no response. Based on the file herein,
25	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, no later than March 15, 2011, Plaintiff shall submit copies
26	of the billing statements from counsel for work done in connection with its motion for a blanket
27	
28	¹ Plaintiff also included in its summary work done during the pre-motion meet and confer as well as post-motion work. However, Judge Trumbull's order requiring reimbursement was limited to work done in connection with the motion alone.

1	protective order. The summary submitted does not provide enough detail for the court to evaluate
2	the reasonableness of the fees requested.
3	Dated: March 3, 2011
4	Pore S. Alerre PAUL S. GREWAL
5	United States Magistrate Judge
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	