1	**E-filed 10/13/2010**
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
8	SAN JOSE DIVISION
9	
10	GREGORY NICHOLAS STESHENKO, No. C 09-5543 RS
11	Plaintiff.
12	v. ORDER EXTENDING DEADLINE TO COMPLETE MEDIATION
13 14	THOMAS MCKAY, et al.,
14	Defendants.
16	/
17	Defendente? as most to enter date des diverses and the second diverse and the second of The
18	Defendants' request to extend the deadline for completing mediation is granted. The parties shall engage in mediation prior to February 28, 2011. Plaintiff's objections to this
19	continuance are noted; it does not appear, however, that requiring the mediation to go forward by
20	an earlier deadline would be practicable or productive. Should plaintiff require Court
21	intervention to facilitate the discovery process, he should file any motion to compel as promptly
22	as possible, after fully-exhausting efforts to resolve any disputes through the meet and confer
23	process. The parties are advised that should any discovery motions be filed, they likely will be
24	referred to a magistrate judge for adjudication.
25	-1111 · 1
26	Dated: 10/13/10
27	RICHARD SEEBORG UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
28	

Dockets.Justia.com