| 1 | 1 *E-Filed 2/21 | /12* | |
|----------|--|------------------|--|
| 2 | 2 | | |
| 3 | 3 | | |
| 4 | 4 | | |
| 5 | 5 | | |
| 6 | 6 | | |
| 7 | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | |
| 8 | 8 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 9 | SAN JOSE DIVISION | | |
| 10 | | | |
| 11 | I GREGORY STESHENKO, No. C 09-5543 RS Plaintiff, Plaintiff, | | |
| 12 | 2 v. ORDER TO RENOTICE MO FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER | | |
| 13 | .3 THE ASSIGNED MAGISTR THOMAS MCKAY, et al. JUDGE | | |
| 14 | Defendants. | | |
| 15 | / | | |
| 16 17 | On February 20, 2012, plaintiff filed a motion for a protective order regarding discovery | | |
| 17 18 | issues, and noticed it for hearing before the undersigned. Plaintiff acknowledges that he is aware all | | |
| 18 19 | discovery disputes in this action have been referred to Magistrate Judge Grewal, but argues | | |
| 20 | circumstances warrant this particular motion being heard by the presiding judge. To the extent | | |
| 20 21 | plaintiff's motion seeks relief from the prior discovery referral order, it is denied. Plaintiff may | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | This Section | | |
| 27 | RICHARD SEEBORG UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE | | |
| 28 | | | |
| | 1 | VO. C 09-5543 RS | |

United States District Court For the Northern District of California