

United States District Court For the Northern District of California 54(b), no reason appears why it is not in the interest of justice to delay entry of judgment until
 conclusion of the proceedings as to all defendants. Accordingly, the judgment entered on
 February 4, 2014, already determined by the Ninth Circuit not to represent an appealable
 judgment, is vacated. In light of these developments, the prior denial of plaintiff's motion to
 strike the Hospital Defendants' cost bill must be reconsidered. As the judgment has been vacated,
 the cost bill is premature. It is therefore stricken, without prejudice to the Hospital Defendants'
 right to seek costs at such time as final judgment is entered in their favor.¹

9 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11 Dated: 2/26/14

RICHARD SEEBORG UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

25
26
¹ While this order was being prepared, plaintiff filed a motion for leave to seek reconsideration, both of the denial of his motion to strike the cost bill, and of the granting of summary judgment to the Hospital Defendants. The first request is moot, in light of this order. As to the second request, plaintiff has presented no grounds that would support reconsidering the summary judgment order.