

On February 4, 2011, Defendant AlliedBarton Security Services LP moved for summary judgment. Dkt. No. 60. A hearing on AlliedBarton's motion was set for March 24, 2011. Under Civil Local Rule 7-3, Plaintiff Mary Yarum had until March 3, 2011 to file either an opposition or a statement of nonopposition to AlliedBarton's motion. As of this Order, Yarum had not filed either an opposition or a statement of nonopposition. The Court notes, however, that the Certification of ADR Session, filed February 18, 2011, represents that the parties fully settled their dispute through mediation. Dkt. No. 65.

1

 25
 ///

 26
 ///

 27
 ///

 28
 ///

Case No.: 09-CV-05615-LHK ORDER RE DEFENDANT'S SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

| 1 | If the parties have settled their case, they shall file a stipulated notice of voluntary dismissal | |
|----|--|--------------------------------------|
| 2 | by March 10, 2011. Otherwise, the March 24, 2011 motion hearing will remain as set. | |
| 3 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | Dated: March 7, 2011 | ucy H. Koh |
| 6 | | CY HKOH ted States District Judge |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |
| | 2 Case No.: 09-CV-05615-LHK ORDER RE DEFENDANT'S SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION | |