

1 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP
 Claude M. Stern (Bar No. 96737)
 2 claudestern@quinnemanuel.com
 Evette Pennypacker (Bar No. 203515)
 3 evettepennypacker@quinnemanuel.com
 555 Twin Dolphin Dr., 5th floor
 4 Redwood Shores, CA 94065
 Telephone: (650) 801-5000
 5 Facsimile: (650) 801-5100

6 Patrick Doolittle (Bar No. 203659)
 patrickdoolittle@quinnemanuel.com
 7 50 California Street, 22nd Floor
 San Francisco, California 94111
 8 Telephone: (415) 875-6600
 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700

9 Attorneys for Defendant Fusion Garage PTE
 10 Ltd.

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 13 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
 14

15 INTERSERVE, INC. dba TECHCRUNCH, a
 16 Delaware corporation, and CRUNCHPAD,
 INC., a Delaware corporation,

17 Plaintiffs,

18 vs.

19 FUSION GARAGE PTE LTD., a Singapore
 20 company,

21 Defendant.

CASE NO. C 09-cv-5812 RS (PVT)

**FUSION GARAGE’S ADMINISTRATIVE
 MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL ITS
 NOTICE OF FURTHER CHALLENGES
 TO CONFIDENTIALITY
 DESIGNATIONS FROM TRANSCRIPT
 OF TECHCRUNCH 30(B)(6)
 DEPOSITION AND SUPPORTING
 EXHIBITS**

(Civil Local Rule 79-5)

1 Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5, Defendant Fusion Garage PTE Ltd. hereby submits this
2 administrative motion for an order to provisionally file under seal its Notice of Further Challenges
3 to Confidentiality Designations From the Transcript of TechCrunch’s 30(b)(6) Deposition
4 (“Notice”) and Exhibits B-D of the Declaration of Patrick C. Doolittle in Support of the Notice
5 (“Exhibits”). Fusion Garage respectfully requests that it be allowed to file the Notice and Exhibits
6 under seal because these documents comprise and/or discuss deposition testimony and deposition
7 exhibits that plaintiffs have designated as Confidential. However, because Fusion Garage has
8 challenged these confidentiality designations, Fusion Garage respectfully requests that the Notice
9 and Exhibits be sealed only provisionally, so that they may be unsealed if the Court grants Fusion
10 Garage’s challenge to the confidentiality designations.

11 Pursuant to Rule 79-5, Fusion Garage will file in the public record a redacted version of
12 the Notice that omits quotations and discussion of the Exhibits.

13 Pursuant to the declaration requirement of Rule 79-5, Fusion Garage respectfully refers the
14 Court to the Declaration of Patrick C. Doolittle in Support of the Notice.

15 DATED: May 12, 2010

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
SULLIVAN, LLP

16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

By /s/ Patrick Doolittle
Patrick C. Doolittle
Attorneys for Defendant Fusion Garage PTE Ltd.