| 1 | QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP | |
|-----------------------|---|---|
| 2 | Claude M. Stern (Bar No. 96737) claudestern@quinnemanuel.com | |
| 3 | Evette D. Pennypacker (Bar No. 203515) evettepennypacker@quinnemanuel.com | |
| 4 | evettepennypacker@quinnemanuel.com 555 Twin Dolphin Dr., 5 th floor Redwood Shores, CA 94065 | |
| 5 | Telephone: (650) 801-5000 Facsimile: (650) 801-5100 | |
| 6 | Joshua L. Sohn (Bar No. 250105) | |
| 7 | Sam S. Stake (Bar No. 257916) 50 California St., 22 nd floor | |
| 8 | San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (650) 875-6600 | |
| 9 | Facsimile: (650) 875-6700 | |
| - | | |
| 10 | Attorneys for Defendant Fusion Garage PTE Ltd. | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 13 | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 14 | SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | INTERSERVE, INC. dba TECHCRUNCH, a | CASE NO. 09-cv-5812 RS (PVT) |
| 17 | Delaware corporation, and CRUNCHPAD, INC., a Delaware corporation, | DECLARATION OF EVETTE D. |
| 18 | Plaintiffs, | PENNYPACKER IN SUPPORT OF REPLY TO FUSION GARAGE'S |
| 19 | | RENEWED MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER |
| | VS. | |
| 20 | FUSION GARAGE PTE. LTD, a Singapore company, | Date: June 8, 2010 Time: 10:00 a.m. |
| 21 | Defendant. | Judge: Hon. Patricia Trumbull |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |
| 04049.51632/3512182.1 | Case No. 09-cv-5812 RS (PVT) PENNYPACKER DECLARATION ISO REPLY TO RENEWED MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER | |
| | Dockets.Justia.com | |

1

I, EVETTE D. PENNYPACKER, declare as follows:

I am a member of the bar of the State of California and a partner in Quinn Emanuel
 Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP, attorneys for Defendant Fusion Garage. Unless otherwise noted, I
 make this declaration of personal knowledge, and if called and sworn as a witness, I could and
 would testify competently thereto.

6 2. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of excerpts from the May
7 13, 2010 hearing before Judge Seeborg regarding Fusion Garage's Motion to Dismiss and
8 Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction.

9 3. Attached hereto as Exhibit B is a true and correct copy of excerpts from the
10 deposition of TechCrunch 30(b)(6) representative Michael Arrington, dated April 20, 2010.

4. Attached hereto as Exhibit C is a true and correct copy of excerpts from Plaintiff
 CrunchPad, Inc.'s Supplemental Responses to Fusion Garage's First Set of Interrogatories, dated
 March 22, 2010. I am informed that Plaintiff Interserve, Inc. dba TechCrunch's Responses to
 Fusion Garage's First Set of Interrogatories are identical to the attached responses in all material
 respects. Thus, for efficiency, those responses are not also attached here but can be made
 available upon request.

17 5. Attached hereto as Exhibit D is a true and correct copy of a TechCrunch blog post
18 entitled "CrunchPad Federal Lawsuit filed; Some Additional Thoughts," dated December 19,
19 2009, available at <u>http://techcrunch.com/2009/12/11/crunchpad-federal-lawsuit-filed-some-</u>

20 <u>additional-thoughts/</u>.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the
foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 25th day of May 2010 at Redwood Shores, California.

<u>/s/ Evette D. Pennypacker</u> Evette D. Pennypacker

23

24

25

26

27

28

-2- Case No. 09-cv-5812 RS (PVT) PENNYPACKER DECLARATION ISO REPLY TO RENEWED MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER