| 1 | | |
|----|--|--|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 8 | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 9 | SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION | |
| 10 | TECHCRUNCH, INC., a Delaware corporation, and CRUNCHPAD, INC., a | CASE NO. 09-cv-5812 RS (PVT) |
| 11 | Delaware corporation, | [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING FUSION GARAGE'S MOTION TO |
| 12 | Plaintiffs, | DISMISS |
| 13 | VS. | |
| 14 | FUSION GARAGE PTE LTD., a Singapore company, | |
| 15 | Defendant. | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | Defendant Fusion Garage PTE Ltd. ("Fusion Garage") has filed a motion to dismiss | |
| 18 | Counts II and III of Plaintiff TechCrunch, Inc. and Plaintiff CrunchPad, Inc.'s (collectively | |
| 19 | "Plaintiffs") Amended Complaint relating to claims of fraud and deceit and unfair competition. | |
| 20 | After reviewing the parties' submissions and hearing arguments by counsel, and good cause | |
| 21 | appearing therefore, Fusion Garage's motion is GRANTED. Counts II and III of Plaintiffs' | |
| 22 | Amended Complaint for fraud and deceit and unfair competition are dismissed with prejudice. | |
| 23 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | SO ORDERED this day of | , 2010. |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | THE HON. RICHARD SEEBORG |
| 28 | | UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE |
| | | |

Interserve, nc. et al v. Fusion Garage PTE. LTD

Doc. 181 A