

1 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP
 Claude M. Stern (Bar No. 96737)
 2 claudestern@quinnemanuel.com
 Evette D. Pennypacker (Bar No. 203515)
 3 evettepennypacker@quinnemanuel.com
 Thomas R. Watson (Bar No. 227264)
 4 tomwatson@quinnemanuel.com
 555 Twin Dolphin Dr., 5th floor
 5 Redwood Shores, CA 94065
 Telephone: (650) 801-5000
 6 Facsimile: (650) 801-5100

7 Patrick C. Doolittle (Bar No. 203659)
 patrickdoolittle@quinnemanuel.com
 8 Joshua L. Sohn (Bar No. 250105)
 joshuasohn@quinnemanuel.com
 9 Sam S. Stake (Bar No. 257916)
 samstake@quinnemanuel.com
 10 50 California Street, 22nd Floor
 San Francisco, California 94111
 11 Telephone: (415) 875-6600
 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700
 12

13 Attorneys for Defendant Fusion Garage PTE Ltd.

14
 15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 16 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 17 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
 18

19 TECHCRUNCH, INC., a Delaware
 corporation, and CRUNCHPAD, INC., a
 20 Delaware corporation,

21 Plaintiffs,

22 vs.

23 FUSION GARAGE PTE. LTD., a Singapore
 company,

24 Defendant.

CASE NO. C 09-cv-5812 RS (PSG)

**REPLY IN SUPPORT OF QUINN
 EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN
 LLP'S MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS
 COUNSEL OF RECORD FOR
 DEFENDANT FUSION GARAGE PTE.
 LTD.**

Date: January 19, 2012
 Time: 1:30 p.m.
 Judge: Hon. Richard Seeborg
 Location: San Francisco, Courtroom 3 - 17th
 Floor

25
 26
 27
 28

1 Plaintiffs submitted a statement of non-opposition to Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan
2 LLP's ("Quinn Emanuel") motion to withdraw as counsel of record for Defendant Fusion Garage
3 PTE. Ltd. *See* Dkt. 234. Accordingly, Quinn Emanuel respectfully requests that the Court grant
4 its motion to withdraw.

5 Plaintiffs' statement of non-opposition included several requests for further relief from the
6 Court regarding Defendant Fusion Garage. Specifically, Plaintiffs have requested (1) the Court
7 issue an Order to Show Cause Why Default Should Not be Entered, set for hearing no more than
8 21 days after Quinn Emanuel's motion is granted; (2) a referral to Magistrate Judge Laporte for
9 additional ADR; and (3) that the discovery previously due on December 16, 2011 be served within
10 14 days of the date Quinn Emanuel's motion is granted.¹ *See* Dkt. 234 and 234-1.

11 Fusion Garage respectfully requests that the Court give it thirty days to find new counsel.
12 Once new counsel is retained, the parties can meet and confer and discuss the case schedule and
13 ADR with the benefit of new counsel's participation in the case. Trial will not commence until
14 early 2013. Thus, Plaintiffs will face little to no prejudice by Fusion Garage's reasonable request.

15
16 DATED: December 28, 2011

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
SULLIVAN, LLP

17
18
19 By /s/ Claude M. Stern
Claude M. Stern
20 Attorneys for Defendant Fusion Garage PTE. Ltd.

21
22
23
24
25
26 _____
27 ¹ Fusion Garage notes that Plaintiffs' request of 14 days to exchange discovery is 7 days
28 before the date Plaintiffs requested that Fusion Garage must retain new counsel or face default.