

I, PATRICK C. DOOLITTLE declare as follows:

- 1. I am a member of the bar of the State of California and a partner in Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP, attorneys for Defendant Fusion Garage. Unless otherwise noted, I make this declaration of personal knowledge, and if called and sworn as a witness, I could and would testify competently thereto.
- 2. On February 22, 2010, when this case was still assigned to the Honorable James Ware, Plaintiffs filed a motion for preliminary injunction ("PI Motion"). The hearing date for this motion was set for May 3, 2010 which was also the hearing date for Fusion Garage's Motion to Dismiss, to Strike, and for a More Definite Statement ("Motion to Dismiss"). Plaintiffs attempted to advance the hearing on the PI Motion to March 29, 2010, but Judge Ware declined to advance it, ruling that the PI Motion would instead be heard on May 3, 2010 along with Fusion Garage's Motion to Dismiss.
- 3. After the Court re-assigned the case to the Honorable Richard Seeborg, Plaintiffs re-noticed their PI Motion for April 29, 2010. Plaintiffs re-noticed the PI Motion without consulting with Fusion Garage's counsel.
- 4. Plaintiffs' chosen date of April 29, 2010 is inconvenient. For instance, Plaintiffs have agreed to make a 30(b)(6) deponent available for deposition on April 2, 2010. Given that Fusion Garage's opposition to the PI Motion would be due April 8 if the PI Motion were heard on April 29, I do not believe that Fusion Garage would have adequate time to receive and review the deposition transcript or incorporate the testimony into their opposition to the PI Motion under the schedule that Plaintiffs unilaterally selected. Furthermore, based on what one of my colleagues working on the case has told me, Plaintiffs have currently produced only 330 pages of documents in response to Fusion Garage's discovery requests. Thus, under Plaintiffs' schedule, Fusion Garage would have to file its opposition to the PI Motion before receiving a full set of Plaintiffs' documents.
- 5. Fusion Garage sought Plaintiffs' agreement to re-set the PI Motion (as well as the Motion to Dismiss) to May 6, 2010. Plaintiffs responded that they would only agree to a May 6, 2010 hearing date if Fusion Garage would present a witness, Mr. Chandra Rathakrishnan, for

04049.51632/3412300.1 -2-

deposition by April 15, 2010. However, Mr. Rathakrishnan lives and works in Singapore, and Plaintiffs have previously requested that Mr. Rathakrishnan sit for deposition in the United States if possible. Fusion Garage has agreed to work with Plaintiffs to try and coordinate a deposition in the United States when Mr. Rathakrishnan is traveling here on business. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 30th day of March 2010 at San Francisco, California. /s/ Patrick C. Doolittle Patrick C. Doolittle

04049.51632/3412300.1 -3-