

1 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP  
 Claude M. Stern (Bar No. 96737)  
 2 claudestern@quinnemanuel.com  
 Patrick Doolittle (Bar No. 203659)  
 3 patrickdoolittle@quinnemanuel.com  
 50 California Street, 22nd Floor  
 4 San Francisco, California 94111  
 Telephone: (415) 875-6600  
 5 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700

6 Attorneys for Defendant Fusion Garage PTE Ltd.

7  
 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 INTERSERVE, INC. dba TECHCRUNCH, a  
 Delaware corporation, and CRUNCHPAD,  
 12 INC., a Delaware corporation,  
 13 Plaintiffs,  
 14 vs.  
 15 FUSION GARAGE PTE LTD., a Singapore  
 company,  
 16 Defendant.

CASE NO. C 09-cv-5812 RS (PVT)

**FUSION GARAGE'S RE-NOTICE OF  
 MOTION TO COMPEL PLAINTIFFS'  
 COMPLIANCE WITH THEIR  
 RESPONSES TO REQUESTS FOR  
 PRODUCTION**

Date: May 11, 2010  
 Time: 10:00 a.m.  
 Judge: Hon. Patricia Trumbull

18  
 19  
 20  
 21  
 22  
 23  
 24  
 25  
 26  
 27  
 28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**RE-NOTICE OF MOTION**

Please take notice that on May 11, 2010, at 10:00 a.m. in the Courtroom of the Honorable Patricia Trumbull of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, San Jose Division, 280 South 1st Street, San Jose, California, 95113, Defendant Fusion Garage PTE, Ltd. (“Fusion Garage”) will, and hereby does, move to compel Plaintiffs to comply with their responses to Fusion Garage's Requests for Production and produce all non-privileged responsive documents.

Please take further notice that Fusion Garage seeks to have this motion heard on shortened time, preferably on Wednesday, April 7, 2010. The Court has not yet ruled on Fusion Garage's request that the Motion to Compel be heard on shortened time.

The bases for the motion are that (1) Plaintiffs have filed a Motion for a Preliminary Injunction that is set to be heard on May 6, 2010 with Fusion Garage's opposition due less than two weeks from now; (2) Plaintiffs have responded to Fusion Garage's outstanding document requests by committing to produce documents; but (3) Plaintiffs have only produced a small number of documents to date.

The motion is based on this Re-Notice of Motion, the original Notice of Motion, Motion, and Memorandum of Points and Authorities (Dkt. 47), the pleadings in this action, the Declaration of Patrick Doolittle (Dkt. 48), and such other matters and argument as the Court may consider at the time of the hearing hereon.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

DATED: April 5, 2010

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &  
SULLIVAN, LLP

By /s/ Patrick Doolittle  
Patrick C. Doolittle  
Attorneys for Defendant Fusion Garage PTE Ltd.