1 2 IT IS SO ORDERE S MODIFIE 3 4 Judge James 5 6 7 8 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION 11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No. C 09-05873 JW 12 ORDER REFERRING CASE TO 13 Plaintiff, MAGISTRATE JUDGE LLOYD FOR 14 **SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE; CONTINUING PRELIMINARY** CARDI M. PRINZI and LORI J. 15 PRETRIAL CONFERENCE FRANKZKE, 16 Defendants. 17 18 Presently before the Court is the parties' Stipulation to refer this case to a magistrate judge for a settlement conference and to continue the Preliminary Pretrial Conference currently 19 set for October 25, 2010. (Docket Item No. 24.) Based on the parties' Stipulation and ADR's recommendation, the Court finds good cause to refer this case to Judge Lloyd for a Settlement 20 Conference. On or before October 18, 2010, the parties shall contact Judge Lloyd's chambers to 21 set up their conference. The Court also finds good cause to continue the Preliminary Pretrial Conference from 22 October 25, 2010 to **December 20, 2010 at 10 a.m**. On or before **December 10, 2010**, the 23 parties shall file a Joint Preliminary Pretrial Statement. The Statement shall include a status update on the parties' settlement efforts and the parties' proposed trial schedule. 24 The Court's continuance of the Preliminary Pretrial Conference is not intended to extend any other deadlines. Accordingly, the Case Schedule as set for in the Court's April 15, 25 2010 Scheduling Order shall remain unchanged. (See Docket Item No. 17.) 26 In light of this Order, the Court DENIES as moot Defendants' Motion to Appear by Telephone. (Docket Item No. 25.) 27 28 Dated: October 14, 2010 nited States District Judge