

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

REBECCA GARCIA

Plaintiff(s),

CASE NO. C09-05916 JW

v.

THE ROMAN CATHOLIC BISHOP OF  
SAN JOSE

Defendant(s).

STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~ <sup>Proposed</sup>  
ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS

Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:

The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process:

**Court Processes:**

- Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4)
- Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)
- Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)

*(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably more likely to meet their needs than any other form of ADR, must participate in an ADR phone conference and may not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)*

**Private Process:**

Private ADR (please identify process and provider) Mediation - The parties  
are discussing mediators and may use Judge Silver (ret.) of JAMS.

The parties agree to hold the ADR session by:


the presumptive deadline *(The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order referring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)*

other requested deadline July 15, 2010

Dated: 4/7/2010

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Attorney for Plaintiff

Dated: 4/8/2010

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Attorney for Defendant

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration  
Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)  
Mediation

X Private ADR


Deadline for ADR session

90 days from the date of this order.

X other July 15, 2100

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 15, 2010

  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE