Dog. 92

Spilsbury v. Target Corporation et al

WHEREAS, on January 25, 2011, Defendants Select Brands, Inc. and Target Corporation 1 withdrew their Motion for Summary Judgment without prejudice, which was scheduled to be heard 2 February 28, 2011, based upon Plaintiff disclosing new information on January 21, 2011 (Docket 3 #90): 4 WHEREAS, the withdrawal of Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment has rendered 5 moot the majority of the issues raised in Defendants' Motion for Protective Order. Accordingly, 6 Defendants believe withdrawing the Motion for Protective Order is appropriate; WHEREAS, on January 28, 2011, counsel for Defendants prepared and executed a 8 Stipulation and Order to Withdraw Defendants' Motion for Protective Order and sent it to 9 Plaintiff's counsel (a copy is attached hereto); 10 WHEREAS, after waiting four hours, Plaintiff's counsel has not returned the proposed 11 12 Stipulation; Defendants SELECT BRANDS, INC. and TARGET CORPORATION, by and through 13 their attorney of record, hereby withdraw their Motion for Protective Order scheduled for hearing 14 on February 1, 2011, without prejudice to re-filing the motion with regard to issues that remain in 15 dispute. 16 STONE & ASSOCIATES 17 18 19 By: January 28, 2011 JULIET MACMILLIN LOMPA 20 Attorneys for Defendants 21 22 23 24 25 26

27

28

- 11				
1 2	COLETTE F. STONE, ESQ. (SBN: 129773) JULIET MACMILLIN LOMPA, ESQ. (SBN: 140980) STONE & ASSOCIATES			
3	A Professional Corporation 2125 Ygnacio Valley Road, Suite 101			
4	Walnut Creek, CA 94598 Telephone: (925) 938-1555			
5	Facsimile: (925) 938-2937 Email: jmlompa@stonelawoffice.com			
6	Attorneys for Defendants SELECT BRANDS, INC. and TARGET CORPORATION			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10	THOMAS SPILSBURY, JR.)	Case No.: CV 09 5955 JW	
11	Plaintiff,)	STIPULATION AND ORDER RE: WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER SCHEDULED FOR HEARING ON FEBRUARY 1, 2011	
12	vs.)		
13	75.)		
14	TARGET CORPORATION, SELECT BRANDS, INC, and DOES 1-25, inclusive,)		
15	and the state of t)	Complaint Filed: September 22, 2009	
16	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, AGREED AND JOINTLY REQUESTED by the parties			
17	by and through their respective attorneys of record, that Defendants' Motion for Protective Orde			
18	scheduled for hearing on February 1, 2011 be withdrawn, without prejudice to re-filing the motio			
19	with regard to issues that remain in dispute.			
20	IT IS SO AGREED,	CORS	IGLIA McMAHON & ALLARD, LLP	
21				
22	January, 2011	Ву:	TIMOTHY D. McMAHON	
23			Attorneys for Plaintiff	
24	IT IS SO AGREED, S		STONE & ASSOCIATES	
25				
26	January <u>2</u> § 2011	Ву:_	Juliat Rompa	
27			Attorneys for Defendants	
20				

STIPULATION AND ORDER RE: WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER SCHEDULED FOR HEARING ON FEBRUARY 1, 2011

Defendants' motion for a protective order (Docket No. 53) is TERMINATED without prejudice per Defendants' request (Docket No. 91), and the February 1, 2001 hearing is VACATED. URSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED, Dated: January 28, 2011 nited States Magistrate Judge