COLETTE F. STONE, ESQ. (SBN: 129773) JULIET MACMILLIN LOMPA, ESO. (SBN: 140980) STONE & ASSOCIATES A Professional Corporation IT IS SO ORDERED 3 2125 Ygnacio Valley Road, Suite 101 Walnut Creek, CA 94598 Telephone: (925) 938-1555 Facsimile: (925) 938-2937 Judge James Ware 5 Email: imlompa@stonelawoffice.com Attorneys for Defendants SELECT BRANDS, INC. and 7 TARGET CORPORATION DISTRIC 2/7/2011 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 THOMAS SPILSBURY, JR. Case No.: CV 09 5955 JW 11 Plaintiff, ADMINISTRATIVE UNCONTESTED MOTION FOR AN ORDER 12 VS. ALLOWING DEFENDANTS TO CONDUCT THE DEPOSITION OF 13 THEODORE MARTINEZ, A NEWLY TARGET CORPORATION, SELECT IDENTIFIED WITNESS, AFTER 14 BRANDS, INC, and DOES 1-25, inclusive, DISCOVERY CUT-OFF DATE 15 Defendants. Complaint Filed: September 22, 2009 16 17 This Administrative Uncontested Motion is brought pursuant to Local Rule 7-11, seeking 18 an order allowing defendants to conduct the deposition of Theodore Martinez, a third-party 19 witness, who was first identified by plaintiff on January 21, 2011, after the discovery cut-off date. 20 Defendants TARGET CORPORATION and SELECT BRANDS, INC. contend there is good 21 cause to allow the taking of this deposition after the discovery cut-off date as set forth below: This is a personal injury lawsuit based on claims for strict product liability and negligence. 22 The product at issue is a Chefmate model BL-10 blender, an ordinary blender sold exclusively by TARGET CORPORATION and distributed by SELECT BRANDS, INC. Plaintiff THOMAS 25 SPILSBURY, JR. seeks compensatory and exemplary damages. Plaintiff alleges in his complaint 26 that on September 30, 2008, a Chefmate blender model BL-10 caused the injuries complained of in 27 this action. 28 ADMINISTRATIVE UNCONTESTED MOTION FOR AN ORDER ALLOWING DEFENDANTS TO

CONDUCT THE DEPOSITION OF THEODORE MARTINEZ, A NEWLY IDENTIFIED WITNESS, AFTER DISCOVERY CUT-OFF DATE

Dockets.Justia.

On May 12, 2010, this court issued a Scheduling Order, which designated a discovery cutoff date of January 21, 2011.

During the discovery process, plaintiff produced the accident blender on two occasions, in response to Defendants' Demand for Inspection and at Plaintiff's deposition. He also produced photographs of "The Blender" that he claims caused his injuries in response to a Request for Production of Documents.

On November 10, 2010, TARGET CORPORATION and SELECT BRANDS, INC filed a Motion for Summary Judgment on the grounds that it is an impossibility for "The Blender" to have caused Plaintiff's injuries as that blender with its revised design did not even exist until *after* the subject accident. The blender produced by Plaintiff as the accident blender has design features that were not incorporated into the BL-10 until October 2008, weeks <u>after</u> Plaintiff's accident. Moreover, the date of manufacture on "The Blender" (stamped onto all Chefmate BL-10 blenders on a power cord plug prong) showed that the blender was not even assembled until mid October 2008, almost three weeks *after* Plaintiff's accident. Plaintiff's opposition to the Motion for Summary Judgment was due on January 25, 2011.

On January 20, 2011, plaintiff's counsel informed defense counsel that earlier that day, his client had just given him newly discovered photographs of the accident scene.

On January 21, 2011, plaintiff's counsel emailed defense counsel the newly discovered photographs, which were represented to depict plaintiff's dorm room with a Chefmate blender, chocolate shake, and blood. Plaintiff's counsel advised the photographs had been taken by Theodore Martinez, a "dorm buddy", shortly after the accident. On the same date, plaintiff's counsel provided a Declaration from Plaintiff wherein he provided a new story: "Upon reflection, I have determined that following the September 30, 2008, accident, I was provided with a blender to use when I was home in the San Jose area. This blender was virtually identical to the Chefmate blender that injured my hand. It is my belief that this is the blender I mistakenly delivered to my attorney's office." (Docket #85)

The accident scene photographs were brand new evidence created by a previously unidentified witness, and plaintiff provided a brand new story claiming he had accidentally misidentified the accident blender. Upon receiving this information, Defendants determined their Motion for Summary Judgment should be withdrawn without prejudice.

Plaintiff testified at his deposition that he was not aware of any witnesses to the accident, and in response to defendant's Request for Production of Documents seeking photographs of plaintiff's dorm room and of the blender, the photographs reportedly taken by Mr. Martinez were not produced. Defendants had never been provided with the name Theodore Martinez until January 21, 2011.

The parties engaged in a meet and confer regarding a potential date for the deposition of Mr. Martinez, and the date of February 15, 2011 was agreed upon. Plaintiff's counsel has stipulated that Defendants be permitted to depose Mr. Martinez (Stipulation attached hereto). Additionally, Mr. Martinez was served with a deposition subpoena on January 29, 2011.

Defendants request leave to conduct the deposition of Mr. Martinez after the discovery cutoff date in order to authenticate the newly produced photographs and to determine important information about the taking of the subject photographs.

By:

Respectfully submitted,

January 31, 2011

MACMILLIN LOMPA Attorneys for Defendants

28

- 1		
1	COLETTE F. STONE, ESQ. (SBN: 129773) JULIET MACMILLIN LOMPA, ESQ. (SBN: 140980) STONE & ASSOCIATES A Professional Corporation 2125 Ygnacio Valley Road, Suite 101 Walnut Creek, CA 94598	
2		
3		
4	Telephone: (925) 938-1555 Facsimile: (925) 938-2937	
5	Email: jmlompa@stonelawoffice.com	
6	Attorneys for Defendants SELECT BRANDS, INC. and	
7	TARGET CORPORATION	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	THOMAS SPILSBURY, JR.) Case No.: CV 09 5955 JW
11	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER RE: DEPOSITION OF THEODORE
12	vs.	MARTINEZ, NEWLY IDENTIFIED WITNESS, AFTER DISCOVERY CUT-
13	TARGET CORPORATION, SELECT	OFF DATE
14	BRANDS, INC, and DOES 1-25, inclusive.) Complaint Filed: September 22, 2009
15	Defendants.)
16	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by the parties, by and through their	
17	respective attorneys of record, that Defendants shall be permitted to conduct the deposition of	
18	Theodore Martinez, a third-party witness identified by plaintiff for the first time on January 21.	
19	2011, after the discovery cut-off date of January 21, 2011.	
20	IT IS SO AGREED,	CORSIGLIA MCMAHON & ALLARD, LLP
21	January , 2011	and the same of
22	January, 2011	TIMOTHY D. McMAHON
23		Attorneys for Plaintiff
24	IT IS SO AGREED,	STONE & ASSOCIATES
25		$-\Omega\Omega$
26	January 31, 2011	the telephone tonge
27		Attorneys for Defendants
28		

STIPULATION AND ORDER RE: DEPOSITION OF THEODORE MARTINEZ, NEWLY IDENTIFIED WITNESS, AFTER DISCOVERY CUT-OFF DATE

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED, Dated: February 7, 2011 NITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE (28 U.S.C. §1746)

I am employed in the County of Contra Costa, State of California. I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action. My business address is 2125 Ygnacio Valley Road, Suite 101, Walnut Creek, California 94598.

On the date indicated below, at the above-referenced business location, I transmitted the document(s) referenced below to the email address of the person designated, or by electronically filing the documents on the Court's ECF system ADMINISTRATIVE UNCONTESTED MOTION FOR AN ORDER ALLOWING DEFENDANTS TO CONDUCT THE DEPOSITION OF THEODORE MARTINEZ, A NEWLY IDENTIFIED WITNESS, AFTER DISCOVERY CUT-OFF DATE:

Timothy D. McMahon, Esq. CORSIGLIA, MCMAHON & ALLARD 96 N. Third Street, Suite 620

San Jose, CA 95112

Tel: 408-289-1417 Fax: 408-289-8127

Email: tmcmahon@cmalaw.net

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed at Walnut Creek, California on January 31, 2011.

Celena Sepulveda

Attorney for Plaintiff