

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

MERCEDES MARKER,
Plaintiff,

v.

CITY OF SAN JOSE AND SON VU,
Defendants.

Case No. C-09-05956-RMW

FINAL JURY INSTRUCTIONS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

DUTY OF JURY.....3
CONDUCT OF THE JURY4
WHAT IS EVIDENCE.....5
WHAT IS NOT EVIDENCE6
DIRECT AND CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE.....7
CREDIBILITY OF WITNESSES.....8
EXPERT OPINION9
FEDERAL CIVIL RIGHTS CLAIMS—INTRODUCTION.....10
FEDERAL CIVIL RIGHTS CLAIM UNDER FIRST AMENDMENT—ELEMENTS.....11
FEDERAL CIVIL RIGHTS CLAIM UNDER FOURTH AMENDMENT—ELEMENTS12
CIVIL RIGHTS CLAIM UNDER STATE LAW—ELEMENTS.....13
STATE LAW—FALSE IMPRISONMENT.....14
STATE LAW—BATTERY CLAIM.....15
UNREASONABLE USE OF FORCE.....16
PERFORMANCE OF LAWFUL DUTIES.....17
LIABILITY FOR ACTS OF AGENT18
DAMAGES—PROOF19
NOMINAL DAMAGES20
DUTY TO DELIBERATE21
COMMUNICATION WITH COURT.....22
RETURN OF VERDICT23

INSTRUCTION NO. 1

DUTY OF JURY

1
2
3 Members of the Jury: Now that you have heard all of the evidence, and the arguments of the
4 attorneys, it is my duty to instruct you as to the law of the case.

5 Each of you has received a copy of these instructions that you may take with you to the jury
6 room to consult during your deliberations.

7 You must not infer from these instructions or from anything I may say or do as indicating
8 that I have an opinion regarding the evidence or what your verdict should be.

9 It is your duty to find the facts from all the evidence in the case. To those facts you will
10 apply the law as I give it to you. You must follow the law as I give it to you whether you agree with
11 it or not. And you must not be influenced by any personal likes or dislikes, opinions, prejudices, or
12 sympathy. That means that you must decide the case solely on the evidence before you. You will
13 recall that you took an oath to do so.

14 In following my instructions, you must follow all of them and not single out some and
15 ignore others; they are all important.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

INSTRUCTION NO. 2
CONDUCT OF THE JURY

I will now repeat a few words I read to you at the beginning of trial about your conduct as jurors.

First, keep an open mind, and do not decide what the verdict should be until you and your fellow jurors have completed your deliberations.

Second, because you must decide this case based only on the evidence received in the case and on my instructions as to the law that applies, you must not be exposed to any other information about the case or to the issues it involves during the course of your deliberations. Thus, until the end of the case or unless I tell you otherwise:

Do not communicate with anyone in any way and do not let anyone else communicate with you in any way about the merits of the case or anything to do with it. This includes discussing the case in person, in writing, by phone or electronic means, via e-mail, text messaging, or any Internet chat room, blog, Web site or other feature. This applies to communicating with your fellow jurors until I give you the case for deliberation, and it applies to communicating with everyone else including your family members, your employer, the media or press, and the people involved in the trial. If you are asked or approached in any way about your jury service or anything about this case, you must respond that you have been ordered not to discuss the matter. You should also report the contact to the court.

Because you will receive all the evidence and legal instruction you properly may consider to return a verdict: do not read, watch, or listen to any news or media accounts or commentary about the case or anything to do with it; do not do any research, such as consulting dictionaries, searching the Internet or using other reference materials; and do not make any investigation or in any other way try to learn about the case or the parties on your own.

The law requires these restrictions to ensure the parties have a fair trial based on the same evidence that each party has had an opportunity to address. A juror who violates these restrictions jeopardizes the fairness of these proceedings. If any juror is exposed to any outside information, please notify the court immediately.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

INSTRUCTION NO. 3

WHAT IS EVIDENCE

The evidence you are to consider in deciding what the facts are consists of:

1. The sworn testimony of any witness;
2. The exhibits which were received into evidence; and
3. Any facts to which the lawyers have agreed.

JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 4

WHAT IS NOT EVIDENCE

1
2
3 In reaching your verdict, you may consider only the testimony and exhibits received into
4 evidence. Certain things are not evidence, and you may not consider them in deciding what the facts
5 are. I will list them for you:

- 6 1. Arguments and statements by lawyers are not evidence. The lawyers are not
7 witnesses. What they have said in their opening statements and will say in
8 their closing arguments is intended to help you interpret the evidence, but it is
9 not evidence. If the facts as you remember them differ from the way the
10 lawyers have stated them, your memory of them controls.
- 11 2. Questions and objections by lawyers are not evidence. Attorneys have a duty
12 to their clients to object when they believe a question is improper under the
13 rules of evidence. You should not be influenced by the objection or by the
14 court's ruling on it.
- 15 3. Testimony that has been excluded or stricken, or that you have been instructed
16 to disregard, is not evidence and must not be considered.
- 17 4. Testimony that has been excluded or stricken, or that you have been instructed
18 to disregard, is not evidence and must not be considered.
- 19 4. Anything you may see or hear when the court is not in session is not evidence.
20 You are to decide the case solely on the evidence received during the trial.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

INSTRUCTION NO. 5

DIRECT AND CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE

Evidence may be direct or circumstantial. Direct evidence is direct proof of a fact, such as testimony by a witness about what that witness personally saw or heard or did. Circumstantial evidence is proof of one or more facts from which you could find another fact. You should consider both kinds of evidence. The law makes no distinction between the weight to be given to either direct or circumstantial evidence. It is for you to decide how much weight to give to any evidence.

INSTRUCTION NO. 6

CREDIBILITY OF WITNESSES

In deciding the facts in this case, you may have to decide which testimony to believe and which testimony not to believe. You may believe everything a witness says, or part of it, or none of it. Proof of a fact does not necessarily depend on the number of witnesses who testify about it.

In considering the testimony of any witness, you may take into account:

1. The opportunity and ability of the witness to see or hear or know the things testified to;
2. The witness's memory;
3. The witness's manner while testifying;
4. The witness's interest in the outcome of the case and any bias or prejudice;
5. Whether other evidence contradicted the witness's testimony;
6. The reasonableness of the witness's testimony in light of all the evidence; and
7. Any other factors that bear on believability.

The weight of the evidence as to a fact does not necessarily depend on the number of witnesses who testify about it.

The testimony of a law enforcement officer should be considered by you just as any other evidence in this case, and in evaluating his credibility you should use the same standards that you apply to the testimony of any witness. You should not give either greater or lesser credence to the testimony of a witness merely because he is a law enforcement officer.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

INSTRUCTION NO. 7

EXPERT OPINION

Some witnesses, because of education, training or experience, were permitted to state opinions and the reasons for those opinions.

Opinion testimony should be judged just like any other testimony. You may accept it or reject it, and give it as much weight as you think it deserves, considering the witness's education, training and experience, the reasons given for the opinion, and all the other evidence in the case.

INSTRUCTION NO. 8

FEDERAL CIVIL RIGHTS CLAIMS—INTRODUCTION

Ms. Marker brings her federal claims under a federal civil rights statute which provides that any person who, under color of law, deprives another of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured by the Constitution or laws of the United States is liable to the injured party. A person acts “under color of law” when the person acts, or purports to act, in the performance of official duties under any state, county, or municipal law, ordinance, or regulation. It is not disputed that Officer Vu acted under color of law.

JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 9

FEDERAL CIVIL RIGHTS CLAIM UNDER FIRST AMENDMENT—ELEMENTS

In order for Ms. Marker to recover on her federal claim against Officer Vu for the alleged violation of her First Amendment rights of free speech and expression, Ms. Marker must have proven that each of the following is more likely true than not true:

1. Officer Vu acted under color of law (undisputed);
2. Ms. Marker engaged in speech or freedom of expression protected under the First Amendment in the video-recording and the questioning that she directed to an officer;
3. Officer Vu took action against Ms. Marker; and
4. Ms. Marker’s protected speech or conduct was a substantial or motivating factor for Officer Vu’s action.

If Officer Vu proves that it is more likely true than not true that he would have taken the same action against Ms. Marker even if she had not engaged in activities protected under the First Amendment, then Ms. Marker cannot recover on this claim.

A substantial or motivating factor is a significant factor.

Video-recording of police officers engaged in their duties in a public place is an activity protected by the First Amendment so long as the recording does not physically interfere with the police officer’s performance of his duties. Questioning a police officer’s actions is protected by the First Amendment even if the questions annoy or offend the officer. However, a police officer has no obligation to engage in conversation with someone who is questioning the officer’s actions.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 10

FEDERAL CIVIL RIGHTS CLAIM UNDER FOURTH AMENDMENT—ELEMENTS

In order for Ms. Marker to recover on her claim against Officer Vu for the alleged violation of her Fourth Amendment right to be free from the unreasonable use of force, Ms. Marker must have proven that each of the following is more likely true than not true:

1. Officer Vu acted under color of law (undisputed); and
2. The acts of Officer Vu deprived Ms. Marker of her Fourth Amendment right to be free from the unreasonable use of force as explained later in these instructions.

Jury Instruction No. 14 explains the unreasonable use of force.

JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 11

CIVIL RIGHTS CLAIM UNDER STATE LAW—ELEMENTS

Ms. Marker brings a claim under California state law against Officer Vu and the City of San Jose for interfering with, or attempting to interfere with, her civil rights by threats, intimidation, or coercion. To establish this claim against Officer Vu, Ms. Marker must have proven that each of the following elements of her claim is more likely true than not true:

1. Officer Vu intentionally threatened, intimidated, or coerced Ms. Marker to prevent her from exercising her First Amendment right to free speech or expression or to retaliate against her for having exercised that right or to prevent her from enjoying her Fourth Amendment right to be free from the use of excessive force;
2. Ms. Marker was harmed; and
3. Officer Vu’s conduct was a substantial factor in causing Ms. Marker’s harm.

JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 12

STATE LAW—FALSE IMPRISONMENT

Ms. Marker brings a claim under California state law against Officer Vu and the City of San Jose that she was wrongfully restrained by Officer Vu. To establish this claim, Ms. Marker must have proven that each of the following is more probably true than not true:

1. Officer Vu intentionally deprived Ms. Marker of her freedom of movement by an unreasonable use of force;
2. The restraint compelled Ms. Marker to stay or go somewhere for some appreciable time, however short;
3. Ms. Marker did not consent;
4. Ms. Marker was harmed; and
5. Officer Vu's conduct was a substantial factor in causing Ms. Marker's harm.

Police in the performance of their lawful duties may detain or control the movement of individuals at the scene of an arrest, including using reasonable force to do so, if it would appear necessary to a reasonable officer to do so in order to protect the safety of the officers or bystanders or prevent physical interference with an arrest.

Jury Instruction No. 14 explains the unreasonable use of force.

Jury Instruction No. 15 explains when an officer is in the performance of his lawful duties.

JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 13

STATE LAW—BATTERY CLAIM

Ms. Marker brings a claim under California state law against Officer Vu and the City of San Jose that she was unlawfully physically contacted by Officer Vu. To establish this claim Ms. Marker must have proven that each of the following elements of her claim is more likely true than not true:

1. Officer Vu intentionally made physical contact with Ms. Marker;
2. Officer Vu’s physical contact with Ms. Marker was an unreasonable use of force against Ms. Marker;
3. Ms. Marker did not consent to the use of that force;
4. Ms. Marker was harmed; and
5. Officer Vu’s use of unreasonable force was a substantial factor in causing Ms. Marker’s harm.

With respect to element 2, if you find that Officer Vu was not acting in the performance of his lawful duties when he touched Ms. Marker, any harmful or offensive physical contact would be unreasonable.

Jury Instruction No. 14 explains the unreasonable use of force.

Jury Instruction No. 15 explains when an officer is in the performance of his lawful duties.

JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 14

UNREASONABLE USE OF FORCE

1
2
3 Under the Fourth Amendment of the United States Constitution and under the state law of
4 California, a person has the right to be free from the unreasonable use of force. The use of force is
5 unreasonable if a law enforcement officer uses excessive force in the otherwise lawful performance
6 of his duties. Not every push or shove violates the Fourth Amendment, but, under some
7 circumstances, the use of any force is excessive. Thus, in this case, in order to prove the excessive
8 use of force under either the United States Constitution or California state law, Ms. Marker must
9 have proven that it is more likely true than not true that Officer Vu used excessive force against her
10 when he made physical contact with her.

11 A law enforcement officer may use such force as is “objectively reasonable” under all of the
12 circumstances. Police in the performance of their duties may detain or control the movement of
13 individuals at the scene, including using force to do so, if it would appear necessary to a reasonable
14 officer to do so in order to protect the safety of the officers or bystanders or prevent physical
15 interference with an officer’s performance of his duties. You must judge the reasonableness of a
16 particular use of force from the perspective of a reasonable officer on the scene and not with the
17 benefit of hindsight.

18 In determining whether Officer Vu used excessive force in this case, consider all of the
19 circumstances known to the officer on the scene, including:

- 20
- 21 1. The severity of the crime or other circumstances to which the officer was responding;
 - 22 2. Whether the plaintiff posed an immediate threat to the safety of the officer or to others;
 - 23 3. The amount of time and any changing circumstances during which the officer had to
24 determine the type and amount of force that appeared to be necessary;
 - 25 4. The type and amount of force used;
 - 26 5. The availability of alternative methods for dealing with plaintiff;
 - 27 6. Whether the officer was acting in the performance of his lawful duties; and
 - 28 7. Whether the plaintiff was physically interfering with police activity at the scene.

The question is whether any force that was used was reasonable viewing the facts from the
perspective of a reasonable officer on the scene.

JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 15

PERFORMANCE OF LAWFUL DUTIES

1
2
3 Under California state law, a police officer may not arrest an individual without a warrant
4 for a misdemeanor offense, such as breach of the peace, which occurred outside the officer's
5 presence. A breach of the peace occurs when a person uses offensive words in a public place which
6 are inherently likely to provoke an immediate violent reaction.

7 An officer is not considered to be acting in the lawful performance of his duties if he arrests
8 someone for a misdemeanor offense that he does not have reasonable cause to believe was
9 committed in his presence. However, the fact that one police officer at the scene may make an
10 unlawful arrest does not mean that another officer is precluded from using reasonable force to
11 control the movement or actions of others at the scene if necessary to maintain officer or bystander
12 safety or prevent physical interference with an arrest, even if the arrest is unlawful.

13 An officer is not considered to be acting in the performance of his lawful duties if he
14 deprives someone of his or her rights secured by the laws of the United States or the State of
15 California.

16 It is for you to decide whether Officer Vu was performing his lawful duties when he made
17 physical contact with Ms. Marker. You should consider whether Officer Vu, when he physically
18 contacted Ms. Marker: (1) was taking only the action that a reasonable officer on the scene would
19 have considered necessary to ensure officer or bystander safety or prevent physical interference with
20 an arrest; or (2) was taking action to prevent Ms. Marker from exercising her right to free expression
21 or right to be free from unreasonable force, as I have explained those rights to you.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 16

LIABILITY FOR ACTS OF AGENT

Defendant Officer Vu and defendant City of San Jose are sued under state law as principal and agent. The defendant City of San Jose is the principal and defendant Officer Vu is the agent. If you find against Officer Vu on any of Ms. Marker’s state law claims, then you must also find against the City of San Jose. However, if you find in favor of Officer Vu, then you must also find in favor of the City of San Jose.

The City of San Jose is not a defendant under the federal civil rights law.

JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 17

DAMAGES—PROOF

1
2
3 It is the duty of the court to instruct you about the measure of damages. By instructing you
4 on damages, the court does not mean to suggest for which party your verdict should be rendered.

5 If you find for plaintiff on any of her claims, you must determine the plaintiff's actual
6 damages. The plaintiff has the burden of proving damages by facts that are more likely true than not
7 true. Damages means the amount of money that will reasonably and fairly compensate the plaintiff
8 for any injury you find was caused by the defendant. You should consider the following:

- 9
1. The nature and extent of any injuries; and
 2. Any mental, physical, emotional pain and suffering experienced and any which with
10 reasonable probability will be experienced in the future.

11 It is for you to determine what damages, if any, have been proven. Your award must be
12 based upon evidence and not upon speculation, guesswork or conjecture.

13 If you find that Officer Vu violated Ms. Marker's civil rights under California state law
14 (*Jury Instruction No. 11*), you may, in your discretion, award an additional amount of up to three
15 times the amount of the actual damages she incurred.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 18

NOMINAL DAMAGES

The law which applies to this case authorizes an award of nominal damages. If you find for plaintiff but you find that she has failed to prove damages as defined in these instructions, you must award nominal damages. Nominal damages may not exceed one dollar.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 19

DUTY TO DELIBERATE

1
2
3 When you begin your deliberations, you should elect one member of the jury as your
4 presiding juror. That person will preside over the deliberations and speak for you here in court.

5 You will then discuss the case with your fellow jurors to reach agreement if you can do so.
6 Your verdict must be unanimous.

7 Each of you must decide the case for yourself, but you should do so only after you have
8 considered all of the evidence, discussed it fully with the other jurors, and listened to the views of
9 your fellow jurors.

10 Do not hesitate to change your opinion if the discussion persuades you that you should. Do
11 not come to a decision simply because other jurors think it is right.

12 It is important that you attempt to reach a unanimous verdict but, of course, only if each of
13 you can do so after having made your own conscientious decision. Do not change an honest belief
14 about the weight and effect of the evidence simply to reach a verdict.

JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 20

COMMUNICATION WITH COURT

1
2
3 If it becomes necessary during your deliberations to communicate with me, you may send a
4 note through the marshal, signed by your presiding juror or by one or more members of the jury. No
5 member of the jury should ever attempt to communicate with me except by a signed writing; I will
6 communicate with any member of the jury on anything concerning the case only in writing, or here
7 in open court. If you send out a question, I will consult with the parties before answering it, which
8 may take some time. You may continue your deliberations while waiting for the answer to any
9 question. Remember that you are not to tell anyone—including me—how the jury stands,
10 numerically or otherwise, until after you have reached a unanimous verdict or have been discharged.
11 Do not disclose any vote count in any note to the court.

JURY INSTRUCTION NO. 21

RETURN OF VERDICT

A verdict form has been prepared for you. After you have reached unanimous agreement on a verdict, your presiding juror will fill in the form that has been given to you, sign and date it, and advise the court that you are ready to return to the courtroom.

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28