2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	R. Duane Westrup, Esq. (SBN 58610) Lawrence R. Cagney, Esq. (SBN 141845) <u>lcagney@wkalaw.com</u> <b>WESTRUP KLICK, LLP</b> 444 West Ocean Boulevard, Suite 1614 Long Beach, California 90802 Telephone: (562) 432-2551 Facsimile: (562) 435-4856 Michael L. Carver, Esq. (SBN 173633) Michelle M. Lunde, Esq. (SBN 173633) Michelle M. Lunde, Esq. (SBN 246585) <b>LABOR LAW OFFICE</b> A Professional Corporation 1600 Humboldt Road, Suite 3 Chico, California 95928 Telephone: (530) 891-8503 Facsimile: (530) 891-8512 Attorneys for Plaintiff	lf of all
11	JORGE R. QUEZADA, individually and on beha others similarly situated	III OI all
12		
13	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
14	NORTHERN DISTR	ICT OF CALIFORNIA
15		
16		
17	JORGE R. QUEZADA, individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	) Case No. C10 00100 JF
18	Plaintiff,	<ul> <li>STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]</li> <li>ORDER MODIFYING</li> </ul>
19	V.	) SCHEDULING ORDER
20	CON-WAY INC., and CON-WAY FREIGHT	) Case No. C 09-03670 JF
21	INC.,	ý )
22	Defendants.	) _) Complaint Filed: February 17, 2009
23		_, _, _, _, _, _, _, _, _, _, _, _, _, _
24		
25	TO THE HONORABLE COURT AND THE	CLERK OF THE COURT:
26	TAKE NOTICE THAT the undersigned	counsel of record for Plaintiffs and Defendant
27	hereby stipulate and request that the Court enter t	he following Order forthwith:
28		
		EDTIFICATION DEFENSE COMPANY E
	511F AND [PKOP] OKD TO MODIFY C	ERTIFICATION BRIEFING SCHEDULE
	1	Dockets.Jus

WHEREAS, Defendants and Plaintiff Quezada agreed that Defendant had priority in taking
 Plaintiff Quezada's deposition before taking Defendant's Person Most Knowledgeable deposition;

WHEREAS, Defendant completed the deposition of Plaintiff Quezada on October 18,
2010.

5 WHEREAS, after entering into the priority agreement respecting Plaintiff Quezada,
6 counsel for Quezada assumed the representation of Plaintiffs Colon Vigil and Fonseca.

7 WHEREAS, Defendants asserted that the deposition priority agreement applied to all
8 Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs disagreed with that contention, but attempted to work cooperatively to
9 schedule all of the necessary depositions.

WHEREAS, the Court has granted one prior sixty day extension of the class certification
briefing schedule to accommodate the parties' difficulties in scheduling the Vigil, Fonseca and
Colon depositions. Defendant completed the depositions of Plaintiffs Vigil and Fonseca on March
3 and 4. Plaintiff Colon has withdrawn from the position of representative plaintiff due to a
medical condition that impaired his ability to perform the functions of a class representative.

WHEREAS, Plaintiff unsuccessfully attempted to schedule the depositions of Defendant
pursuant to Rule 30(b)(6) on mutually agreeable dates and ultimately served deposition notices for
such deposition on the unilaterally selected dates of March 15 and 16, 2011 in order to complete
this essential discovery in suffucient time to meet the impending deadline for the filing of
Plaintiff's motion for class certification on April 15, 2010. Defendant's counsel has served written
objections to such deposition notices on multiple grounds including his unavailability on the
noticed dates and the noticed location for the deposition, San Francisco.

WHEREAS, Defendant's counsel has previously been unable to commit to mutually
agreeable deposition dates due to the pendency of hotly contested motions and a trial set to begin in
an unrelated matter in San Francisco on May 2, 2011. Based on the foregoing , Defendant requires
a brief further interval in order to prepare for and submit to deposition by Plaintiff.

WHEREAS, the parties agree that a continuance of forty-five days of the current briefing
schedule will allow sufficient time for the parties to complete certification-related discovery and
allow Plaintiffs to prepare their motion.

## STIP AND [PROP] ORD TO MODIFY CERTIFICATION BRIEFING SCHEDULE

1	NOW THEREFORE, the parties hereby request, based on the showing of good cause
2	discussed herein, that Plaintiff Colon be dismissed without prejudice as a named Plaintiff and that
3	the certification briefing schedule be continued forty-five days as follows:
4	(1) Certification Motion Filing Date: no later than May 31, 2011;
5	(2) Opposition Filing Date: no later than July 29, 2011;
6	(3) Reply Deadline: no later than August 29, 2011;
7	(4) Hearing Date: Sept. 12, 2011 at 9:00 a.m.; and 30
8	(6) Further Case Management Conference: Sept. <del>26,</del> 2011 at 10:30 a.m.
9	All counsel agree that the only change in the status of the case since the Case Management
10	Conference has been the Court's prior sixty day extension of the briefing schedule and further
11	discovery as described above. This is the second request for a continuance of dates requested by
12	the parties.
13	Dated: April 5, 2011
14	
15	/s/ KENNETH O' BRIEN
16	ERICA H. KELLEY LITTLER MENDELSON
17	A Professional Corporation Attorneys for Defendant
18	CON-WAY FREIGHT INC.
19	Dated: April 5, 2011
20	
21	
22	R. DUANE WESTRUP LAWRENCE R. CAGNEY
23	WESTRUP KLICK, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiffs
24	JORGE R. QUEZADA AND ROBERT COLON
25	COLOIN
26	
27	
28	
	3
	STIP AND [PROP] ORD TO MODIFY CERTIFICATION BRIEFING SCHEDULE

1	Dated: April 6, 2011	
2		
3	/s/	
4 5	JONATHAN CHE GETTLEMAN Attorney for Plaintiffs JOSE ALBERTO FONSECA PINA AND ROGELIO VIGIL	
6		
7	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED THAT PLAINTIFF COLON IS	
8	HEREBY DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE AS A NAMED PLAINTIFF AND THE	
9	BRIEFING SCHEDULING ON PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION BE	
10	MODIFIED AS DESCRIBED ABOVE.	
11		
12		
13	Dated:	
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	4 STIP AND [PROP] ORD TO MODIFY CERTIFICATION BRIEFING SCHEDULE	