

E-Filed 3/31/10

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION**

TMX FUNDING, INC., a Delaware corporation,
Plaintiffs,

v.

IMPERO TECHNOLOGIES, INC., A California Corporation; CLARENCE NICHOLAS STEIGELMAN, An Individual; RONALD J LESNIAK, An Individual; MITCHELL A HEINLEIN, An Individual; JOSEPH ZHANG, a.k.a. XIANGCHOU ZHANG, An Individual; MICHELLE DOVER, An Individual; And DAVID LESNIAK, An Individual,
Defendants.

Case Number C 10-00202 JF (PVT)

ORDER¹ ISSUING PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

On February 12, 2010, Plaintiff TMX Funding, Inc.'s ("TMX") Order to Show Cause Re: Preliminary Injunction came on for hearing in Courtroom 3 of the above-captioned court, the Honorable Jeremy Fogel presiding. TMX appeared through its counsel, Peter D. Holbrook of

¹ This disposition is not designated for publication in the official reports.

1 Buchalter Nemer, P.C. Defendants Impero Technologies, Inc., Clarence Nicholas Steigelman,
2 Mitchell Heinlein, Joseph Zhang, Ronald Lesniak, David Lesniak, and Michelle Dover appeared
3 through their counsel, Andrew K. Jacobson.

4 The Court, having considered all admissible evidence in the moving papers, the
5 opposition papers, and having considered the argument of counsel, finds that good cause is
6 shown for the issuance of a Preliminary Injunction, as follows:

7 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT** Defendants Impero Technologies, Inc., Clarence
8 Nicholas Steigelman, Mitchell Heinlein, Yizhou “Joseph” Zhang, Ronald Lesniak, David
9 Lesniak, and Michelle Dover, and each of them, and any of their directors, officers,
10 shareholders, partners, subsidiaries, affiliates, employees, employers, agents, representatives,
11 and all those in active concert or participation with them (“Defendants”), are preliminarily
12 restrained and enjoined from:

13 1. Failing to return to TMX the Teledex laptops and any other equipment or materials
14 containing proprietary, confidential, or trade secret information; and

15 2. Soliciting business from customers or third party vendors with whom Defendants had
16 been in communication with during their final six months at Teledex for the purpose of
17 furthering Teledex business; and

18 3. Continuing to do business with customers or third party vendors with whom
19 Defendants had been in communication with during their final six months at Teledex for the
20 purpose of furthering Teledex business and from whom Defendants solicited business after
21 December 8, 2009.

22 Nothing in this Order shall prevent Defendants from otherwise doing business or
23 continuing to do business with customers or third party vendors.

24 //

25 //

26 //

27 //

28 //

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT TMX shall post a bond in the amount of \$25,000 and the Preliminary Injunction shall issue upon the date of TMX’s filing of undertaking of the sum specified.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: March 31, 2010



JEREMY FOGEL
United States District Judge