

Chad S. Campbell (SBN 258723)
 cscampbell@perkinscoie.com
 PERKINS COIE LLP
 2901 North Central Avenue, Suite 2000
 Phoenix, AZ 85012-2788
 Telephone: (602) 351-8000
 Facsimile: (602) 648-7000

Lauren Sliger (SBN 213880)
 lsliger@perkinscoie.com
 PERKINS COIE LLP
 1888 Century Park East, Suite 1700
 Los Angeles, CA 90067-1721
 Telephone: (310) 788-3245
 Facsimile: (310) 788-3399

Christopher Kao (SBN 237716)
 ckao@perkinscoie.com
 PERKINS COIE LLP
 3150 Porter Drive
 Palo Alto, CA 94304-1212
 Telephone: (650) 838-4300
 Facsimile: (650) 838-4350

Attorneys for Plaintiff Microsoft Corporation

Morgan Chu (70446)
 Joseph M. Lipner (155735)
 Samuel K. Lu (171969)
 Adam S. Goldberg (250172)
 IRELL & MANELLA LLP
 1800 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 900
 Los Angeles, California 90067-4276
 Telephone: (310) 277-1010
 Facsimile: (310) 203-7199
 E-mail: mchu@irell.com
 E-mail: jlipner@irell.com
 E-mail: slu@irell.com
 E-mail: agoldberg@irell.com

Attorneys for Defendant TiVo Inc.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 SAN JOSE DIVISION

MICROSOFT CORPORATION, a
 Washington corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

TIVO INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendant.

AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.

Case No. 5:10-cv-00240-LHK (PSG)

**JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
 ORDER FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO
 FILE RESPONSE AND REPLY CLAIM
 CONSTRUCTION BRIEFS**

1 Through their respective counsel, Microsoft Corporation and TiVo Inc. stipulate as
2 follows:

3 1. Due to the varying schedules of the parties and their counsel, the parties
4 respectfully request an adjustment to the due dates for the Responsive Claim Construction Brief
5 and the Reply Claim Construction Brief with respect to the asserted Microsoft patents. The
6 proposed adjustment is:

7

8 Deadline	Previous Date	Proposed Date
9 Responsive Claim Construction Brief	March 3, 2011	March 10, 2011
10 Reply Claim Construction Brief	March 10, 2011	March 24, 2011

11 2. The parties disclose that the prior time modifications in this case were (1) a joint
12 stipulation giving TiVo a 30-day extension of time to answer, plead, or otherwise respond to
13 Microsoft's complaint (D.I. 10; D.I. 11); and (2) a joint stipulation adjusting the Court's Case
14 Management Scheduling Order (D.I. 34; D.I. 36).

15 3. The parties do not anticipate that the requested adjustment to the above dates will
16 have any effect on the other scheduled dates in the case, including the dates scheduled for the
17 tutorial and claim construction hearing regarding the asserted Microsoft patents.

18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 DATED: February 23, 2011

Respectfully submitted,

2 PERKINS COIE LLP

3
4 By: /s/ Lauren Sliger
5 Chad S. Campbell
6 Lauren Sliger
7 Christopher Kao

Attorneys for Plaintiff Microsoft Corporation

8 DATED: February 23, 2011

IRELL & MANELLA LLP

9
10 By: /s/ Adam S. Goldberg
11 Morgan Chu
12 Joseph M. Lipner
13 Samuel K. Lu
14 Adam S. Goldberg

Attorneys for Defendant TiVo Inc.

15 **PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED**

16 Date: February 23, 2011

17 
18 _____
19 Hon. Lucy H. Koh
20 United States District Judge
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28