

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10 SAN JOSE DIVISION

11 BERRY LYNN ADAMS,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 DANIEL L. KRAFT, a State of California Park
Ranger, et al.,

15 Defendants.

Case No.: 10-CV-00602-LHK

ORDER EXCLUDING TESTIMONY OF
PERCIPIENT WITNESSES TO THE
JUNE 22, 2009 INCIDENT

16 Upon further reflection, any testimony of percipient witnesses to the June 22, 2009 incident
17 is irrelevant and inadmissible unless Plaintiff can establish that Defendants Kraft and Hauck were
18 aware of such information at the time they arrested Plaintiff Adams. Plaintiff has not established
19 that Defendants Kraft and Hauck were aware of such information in her pleadings or at the pretrial
20 conference. Should Plaintiff wish to make such a proffer, she must do so in writing by Monday,
21 May 7, 2012 at 9:00 a.m.

22 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

23 Dated: May 4, 2012

24 
LUCY H. KOH
United States District Judge