| 1        |  |  |  |  |  |
|----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2        |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3        |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4        |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5        | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  |  |  |  |  |
| 6        | FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  |  |  |  |  |
| 7        | SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION   |  |  |  |  |
| 8        | ComUnity Lending, Inc., NO. C 10-00930 JW<br>NO. C 10-00931 JW   |  |  |  |  |
| 9        | Plaintiff,<br>v. ORDER REQUIRING SUPPLEMENTAL  |  |  |  |  |
| 10       | BRIEFING BRIEFING  |  |  |  |  |
| 11       | Defendants.  |  |  |  |  |
| 12       | /  |  |  |  |  |
| 13       | Katherine Buckmeyer, et al.,   |  |  |  |  |
| 14       | Plaintiffs,  |  |  |  |  |
| 15       | v.   |  |  |  |  |
| 16       | ComUnity Lending, Inc.,  |  |  |  |  |
| 17       | / Defendant.   |  |  |  |  |
| 18       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23       | for attorney fees arising out of the same proceeding was treated as an administrative priority claim. <sup>2</sup> |  |  |  |  |
| 24       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25<br>26 | JW; Notice of Appeal, hereafter, "Buckmeyer Appeal," Docket Item No. 1 in No. C 10-00931 JW.)                      |  |  |  |  |
| 20<br>27 | bereafter "Buckmeyer Brief" Docket Item No. 7 in No. C 10-00931 IW.)   |  |  |  |  |
| 27       | <sup>2</sup> (See Buckmeyer Brief at 1; Appellants' Opening Brief at 1, Docket Item No. 7 in No. 10-               |  |  |  |  |
|          | 00930 JW.)   |  |  |  |  |

A full statement of the facts of the underlying case can be found in the Court's December 11, 1 2008 Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment.<sup>3</sup> On January 30, 2009, the Court 2 3 entered judgment in favor of Plaintiffs and against Defendant ComUnity, declaring that certain disputed funds were not assets of a bankruptcy estate, but instead belonged to participants in a Non-4 Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan.<sup>4</sup> On February 9, 2009, the Trustee filed a Notice of Appeal 5 to the Ninth Circuit.<sup>5</sup> On April 24, 2009, the Court granted Plaintiffs' Motions for Attorney Fees, 6 but denied Plaintiffs' request that the Court adjudicate the priority of any costs or fees awarded.<sup>6</sup> On 7 8 February 16, 2010, the Bankruptcy Court held that Plaintiffs' fee awards were not entitled to priority.<sup>7</sup> On March 4, 2010, Plaintiffs appealed the Bankruptcy Court's decision regarding the 9 priority of fee awards to this Court.<sup>8</sup> On October 8, 2010, the Ninth Circuit reversed this Court's 10 order granting Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment and vacated this Court's "judgment and the 11 order awarding Plaintiff their attorneys' fees."<sup>9</sup> That action is now set for trial on June 7, 2011. 12 13 In light of the procedural posture of these cases, on or before May 13, 2011, the parties shall 14 file a Joint Statement explaining whether the Appeals in No. C 10-00930 JW and No. C 10-00931 15

JW are moot as the result of the Ninth Circuit's October 8, 2010 opinion.

Dated: May 2, 2011 17

es las

United States District Chief Judge

<sup>3</sup> (See Docket Item No. 59 in No. 08-00201 JW.)

- <sup>4</sup> (See Docket Item Nos. 71 and 72 in No. 08-00201 JW.)
- <sup>5</sup> (See Docket Item No. 73 in No. 08-00201 JW.)
- 23 <sup>6</sup> (See Order Granting Motion for Attorney Fees; Denying Motion to Determine Priority, 24 Docket Item No. 105 in No. 08-00201 JW.)
  - <sup>7</sup> (See Buckmeyer Brief at 6.)
  - <sup>8</sup> (See Buckmeyer Appeal; Pham Appeal.)
  - <sup>9</sup> (See Docket Item No. 121 at 6 in No. 08-00201 JW.)

**United States District Court** For the Northern District of Californi

16

18

19

20

21

22

25

26

27

28

| 1        | THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT COPIES OF THIS ORDER HAVE BEEN DELIVERED TO:  |      |                           |  |  |
|----------|---|------|---------------------------|--|--|
| 2        | Barry Milgrom bmilgrom@luce.com<br>Hong-Nhung Thi Le nle@luce.com<br>Jeffrey L. Fillerup jfillerup@luce.com<br>Jessie L.B. Hill jlbhill@aol.com |      |                           |  |  |
| 3        |   |      |                           |  |  |
| 4        | John Stephen Wesolowski john.wesolowski@usdoj.gov<br>Matthew Brooks Borden borden@braunhagey.com  |      |                           |  |  |
| 5        | Neil S. Tardiff info@smithtardiff.com<br>Robert Anthony Franklin rfranklin@murraylaw.com  |      |                           |  |  |
| 6        | Ronald Scott Kravitz <u>Rkravitz@LinerLaw.com</u>   |      |                           |  |  |
| 7        | Dated: May 2, 2011  |      | Richard W. Wieking, Clerk |  |  |
| 8        | Dated. May 2, 2011  |      |                           |  |  |
| 9<br>10  | ]   | By:_ | Susan Imbriani            |  |  |
| 10       |   |      | Courtroom Deputy          |  |  |
| 11<br>12 |   |      |                           |  |  |
| 12       |   |      |                           |  |  |
| 13<br>14 |   |      |                           |  |  |
| 14       |   |      |                           |  |  |
| 16       |   |      |                           |  |  |
| 17       |   |      |                           |  |  |
| 18       |   |      |                           |  |  |
| 19       |   |      |                           |  |  |
| 20       |   |      |                           |  |  |
| 21       |   |      |                           |  |  |
| 22       |   |      |                           |  |  |
| 23       |   |      |                           |  |  |
| 24       |   |      |                           |  |  |
| 25       |   |      |                           |  |  |
| 26       |   |      |                           |  |  |
| 27       |   |      |                           |  |  |
| 28       |   |      |                           |  |  |
|          |   |      |                           |  |  |
|          |   |      |                           |  |  |