

1 RICHARD B. GOETZ (State Bar No. 115666)
 O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
 2 400 South Hope Street
 Los Angeles, California 90071
 3 Telephone: (213) 430-6000
 Facsimile: (213) 430-6407
 4 E-Mail: rgoetz@omm.com

5 ROBERTA H. VESPREMI (State Bar No. 225067)
 O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
 6 2765 Sand Hill Road
 Menlo Park, California 94025
 7 Telephone: (650) 473-2600
 Facsimile: (650) 473-2601
 8 E-Mail: rvespremi@omm.com

9 Attorneys for Defendant
 MCNEIL-PPC, INC.

10 KATHRYN G. SPELMAN (State Bar No. 154512)
 11 DANIEL H. FINGERMAN (State Bar No. 229683)
 MOUNT & STOELKER, P.C.
 12 RiverPark Tower, Suite 1650
 333 West San Carlos Street
 13 San Jose, California 95110
 Telephone: (408) 279-7000
 14 Facsimile: (408) 998-1473
 E-Mail: kspelman@mount.com
 15 dfingerman@mount.com

16 Attorneys for Plaintiff
 SAN FRANCISCO TECHNOLOGY INC.

17
 18 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 19 **FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
 20 **SAN JOSE DIVISION**

22 SAN FRANCISCO TECHNOLOGY INC.,
 23 Plaintiff,
 24 v.
 25 THE GLAD PRODUCTS COMPANY, et al.
 26 Defendants.

Case No. 5:10-cv-00966-JF

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
 ORDER TO EXTEND DEFENDANT
 MCNEIL-PPC, INC.'S TIME TO
 ANSWER, MOVE OR OTHERWISE
 RESPOND TO THE COMPLAINT**

1 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between plaintiff San Francisco Technology Inc.
2 (“plaintiff”) and defendant McNeil-PPC, Inc. (“defendant”), by and through their counsel of
3 record as follows:

4 WHEREAS plaintiff filed its Complaint on March 5, 2010, and defendant was served on
5 March 18, 2010;

6 WHEREAS the current deadline for defendant to answer, move or otherwise respond to
7 the Complaint is April 7, 2010;

8 WHEREAS defendant has not previously sought to extend its time to answer, move or
9 otherwise respond to the Complaint;

10 WHEREAS because defendant’s counsel requires additional time to adequately
11 investigate the pertinent facts and applicable law, and to determine how to appropriately respond
12 to the Complaint, the plaintiff and defendant respectfully request the Court to extend the
13 defendant’s time to answer, move or otherwise respond to the Complaint to May 14, 2010.

14 NOW, THEREFORE, pursuant to Local Rule 6-1, the undersigned parties hereby stipulate
15 as follows: Defendant’s last day to answer, move or otherwise respond to the Complaint is May
16 14, 2010.

17 SO STIPULATED.

18 Dated: March 24, 2010

O’MELVENY & MYERS LLP

19
20
21 By: /s/ Roberta H. Vespremi
Roberta H. Vespremi

22 Attorneys for Defendant
23 MCNEIL-PPC, INC.

24 Dated: March 24, 2010

MOUNT & STOELKER, P.C.

25
26 By: /s/ Daniel H. Fingerman
Daniel H. Fingerman

27 Attorneys for Plaintiff
28 SAN FRANCISCO TECHNOLOGY, INC.

Certificate of Service

The undersigned certifies that on March 24, 2010, the foregoing document was filed with the Clerk of the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California, using the court’s electronic filing system (ECF), in compliance with Civil L.R. 5-4 and General Order 45. The ECF system serves a “Notice of Electronic Filing” to all parties and counsel who have appeared in this action, who have consented under Civil L.R. 5-5 and General Order 45 to accept that Notice as service of this document.

Date: March 24, 2010

O’MELVENY & MYERS LLP

By: /s/ Roberta H. Vespremi
 Roberta H. Vespremi

Attorneys for Defendant
MCNEIL-PPC, INC.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28