1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	NORTHERN DISTR	S DISTRICT COURT RICT OF CALIFORNIA E DIVISION	
15	ZYNGA GAME NETWORK INC., a Delaware	CASE NO. CV-10:01022 JF (PVTx)	
16	Corporation,		
17	Plaintiff,	SECOND MOTION TO CONTINUE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE; AND	
18	V.	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND	
19 20	JASON WILLIAMS, an individual, LUNA MARTINI, an individual, and JOHN DOES 1-5 D/B/A MW GROUP	AUTHORITIES	
20		No Hearing Date Requested	
	Defendants.		
22 23			
23			
24			
25			
20			
28			
		CASE NO. CV-10:01022 JF (PVTx)	
		SECOND MOTION TO CONTINUE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE Dockets.Justia.k	com

1	MOTION
2	Plaintiff Zynga Game Network Inc. ("Zynga") hereby moves the Court pursuant to Civil
3	Local Rules 6-3 and 7-11 for an order continuing the Case Management Conference. This motion is
4	based on the Motion, the Declaration of Tara D. Rose, the [Proposed] Order, all pleadings on file in
5	this action, and any other matter that may be submitted in support of the motion.
6	ISSUE TO BE DECIDED
7	Whether the Case Management Conference currently scheduled for August 27, 2010 shall be
8	continued.
9	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES
10	I. INTRODUCTION
11	Plaintiff Zynga Game Network Inc. ("Zynga") respectfully requests that the Court continue
12	the Case Management Conference currently scheduled for August 27, 2010 at 10:30 a.m.
13	II. FACTS
14	The Court originally scheduled the Case Management Conference for June 8, 2010, and
15	ordered the parties to meet and confer as required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure ("Rule")
16	26(f)(1) and regarding ADR process selection pursuant to ADR Local Rule 3-5, and to file the ADR
17	Certification and the Stipulation to ADR Process or Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference
18	forms no later than May 18, 2010. (Dkt. No. 4.)
19	On June 1, 2010, the Court continued the Case Management Conference until August 27,
20	2010, and continued all related dates accordingly. (Dkt. No. 15.) In this case, the deadline for the
21	conference to occur and to file the ADR forms is Friday, August 6, 2010 and the deadline to file the
22	Rule 26(f) report is Tuesday, August 17.
23	To date, Zynga has been unable to serve the defendants with process. Zynga unsuccessfully
24	attempted to serve Defendants at the physical addresses listed for Defendants in the Whois database
25	information Domain History for the Internet domain names MWBLACKMARKET.COM and
26	MWFEXPRESS.COM. (See Declaration of Tara D. Rose in Support of Zynga's Motion to Continue
27	Case Management Conference Scheduled for June 11, 2010 ("Dkt. No. 10").) The physical address
28	

listed in the Whois database information for MWBLACKMARKET.COM is not a home or business
 address for Defendants, but rather is a UPS Depot from which cargo trucks and vans are dispatched.
 (*See* Dkt. No. 10.) The physical address listed in the Whois database information for
 MWFEXPRESS.COM does not exist in the city listed for the domain name. (*See id.*) The physical
 address listed in the Whois database information for MAFIAWARSDIRECT.COM is for Indiana
 University East and the Whois database address did not include a suite, dorm or office number
 necessary to locate a person or entity at the University. (*See id.*)¹

On May 20, 2010, the Court authorized Zynga to conduct third party discovery to determine
the identities and locations of the defendants in this case. (*See* Dkt. No. 14.) Zynga subsequently
issued third party subpoenas to Internet domain name registrar GoDaddy.com, Inc., credit card
processing company PayPal, Inc. and web host Microsoft Office Live, as authorized by the Court.
(Declaration of Tara D. Rose in Support of Plaintiff's Second Motion to Continue Case Management
Conference ("Rose Decl.") ¶ 4.)

Production from GoDaddy.com, Inc. revealed the same bogus addresses as those listed for
the defendants in the Whois database information Domain History for the Internet domain names
MWBLACKMARKET.COM, MWFEXPRESS.COM and MAFIAWARSDIRECT.COM. (Rose
Decl. ¶ 5.) Production from PayPal, Inc. revealed a name and address of an individual in Taiwan.
(*Id.* ¶ 6.) Zynga is currently working with local counsel in Taiwan to confirm whether this name and
address, in fact, exists in Taiwan. (*Id.*) Zynga is currently still awaiting responsive documents and
information from Microsoft Office Live. (*Id.* ¶ 7.)

III. ARGUMENT

There is good cause to continue the Case Management Conference in this case. Zynga has not yet confirmed the defendants' identities and locations, and as a result is unable to serve the defendants with sufficient time for the parties to meet and confer ahead of the Case Management Conference, file the required ADR forms and file a Rule 26(f) Joint Report. (*See* Rose Decl. ¶ 2.) A

¹ Therefore, Zynga did not attempt to serve Defendants at this address.

28

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1	continuance of the Case Management Conference will allow Zynga to serve the defendants with	
2	process with sufficient time for the parties to meet and confer prior to the conference. ²	
3	Although it is difficult to predict the amount of time it will take to serve the defendants	
4	without knowing the identities and locations of Defendants, assuming local counsel in Taiwan	
5	confirms that the name and location identified in productions from PayPal, Inc. is a valid name and	
6	address and/or assuming Microsoft Office Live produces responsive documents and information in a	
7	timely manner, and allowing sufficient time for the parties to fulfill their obligations under the	
8	Federal Rules and Local Rules, Zynga respectfully requests that the Court continue the Case	
9	Management Conference until Friday, November 5, 2010, or another date that is convenient for the	
10	Court.	
11	IV. CONCLUSION	
12	For the foregoing reasons, Zynga respectfully requests that the Court issue an order	
13	continuing the Case Management Conference in this matter. Zynga will gladly provide any	
14	additional information the Court may request regarding this Motion.	
15		
16		
17	Dated: August 6, 2010 By:/s/	
18	David K. Caplan Keats McFarland & Wilson LLP	
19	Attorneys for Plaintiff ZYNGA GAME NETWORK INC.	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
20		
27	² The fact that Zynga has not yet been able to serve Defendants also prevents Zynga from presenting the instant motion as a stipulation. (<i>See</i> Rose Decl. \P 3.)	
20		