

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

GILLIAN L. WADE (SBN 229124)  
SARA D. AVILA (SBN 263213)  
MILSTEIN ADELMAN, LLP  
2800 Donald Douglas Loop North  
Santa Monica, CA 90405  
Telephone: (310) 396-9600  
Facsimile: (310) 396-9635  
E-Mail: *gwade@milsteinadelman.com*;  
*savila@maklawyers.com*

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Mary McKinney and  
Nathan Nabors

HENRY WEISSMANN (SBN 132418)  
MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP  
355 South Grand Avenue, Thirty-Fifth Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90071-1560  
Telephone: (213) 683-9100  
Facsimile: (213) 687-3702  
E-mail: *Henry.Weissmann@mto.com*

ROSEMARIE T. RING (SBN 220769)  
MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP  
560 Mission Street, Twenty-Seventh Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94105-2907  
Telephone: (415) 512-4000  
Facsimile: (415) 512-4077  
E-Mail: *Rose.Ring@mto.com*

Attorneys for Defendant HTC Corporation

MATTHEW L. LARRABEE (No. 97147)  
DECHERT LLP  
One Maritime Plaza, Suite 2300  
San Francisco, California 94111-3513  
Telephone: 415.262.4500  
Facsimile: 415.262.4555  
E-Mail: *matthew.larrabee@dechert.com*

STEVEN B. WEISBURD (No. 171490)  
DECHERT LLP  
300 West 6th Street, Suite 2010  
Austin, Texas 78701  
Telephone: 512.394.3000  
Facsimile: 512.394.3001  
E-Mail: *steven.weisburd@dechert.com*

Attorneys for Defendant Google, Inc.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION

In re Google Phone Litigation

CASE NO. 5:10-CV-01177-EJD  
(Consolidated with No. 5:10-CV-03897 EJD)

**DECLARATION OF ROSEMARIE T.  
RING IN SUPPORT OF STIPULATION TO  
EXTEND DEADLINE**

1 I, ROSEMARIE T. RING, declare as follows:

2 1. I am an attorney at the law firm of Munger, Tolles & Olson LLP, counsel to  
3 defendant HTC Corporation in this action. The contents of this declaration are within my  
4 personal knowledge and, if called as a witness, I could and would testify competently thereto.

5 2. On November 9, 2011, Defendants Google, Inc. and HTC Corporation requested a  
6 two-week extension of the deadline set by the local rules for Defendants to file a reply in support  
7 of their Motion to Dismiss the Consolidated Amended Complaint in order to fully respond to the  
8 arguments raised in Plaintiffs' opposition. Plaintiffs agreed to the extension. The extension will  
9 enable Defendants to coordinate their efforts and to provide this Court with comprehensive  
10 briefing on potentially dispositive issues in this consolidated litigation.

11 3. The following time modifications have been made in this litigation, all based upon  
12 stipulations: April 13, 2010 (Docket No. 13), May 27, 2010 (Docket No. 21), June 11, 2010  
13 (Docket No. 24), August 17, 2010 (Docket No. 45); December 20, 2010 (Docket No. 77; Docket  
14 No. 19 in *Nabors*), February 10, 2011 (Docket No. 82; Docket No. 25 in *Nabors*); April 6, 2011  
15 (Docket No. 92; Docket No. 34 in *Nabors*), April 21, 2011 (Docket No. 96; Docket No. 38 in  
16 *Nabors*), October 11, 2011 (Docket No. 112).

17 4. The requested two-week extension would have no effect on the schedule set for  
18 this case. The reply would be filed on November 28, over two months before the motion hearing  
19 set for February 3, 2010.

20 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true. Executed on November 11,  
21 2010, at San Francisco, California.

22 /s/ Rosemarie T. Ring

23 Rosemarie T. Ring