| 1 | |
|----|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |
| 10 | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA |
| 11 | SAN JOSE DIVISION |
| 12 | GUIFU LI, MENG WANG, FANG DAI, LIN) Case No.: 10-CV-01189-LHK CUI, and ZHONG YU, on behalf of themselves) |
| 13 | and all others similarly situated, |
| 14 | Plaintiffs,) ORDER REGARDING EXPERT) DAMAGES REPORT) |
| 15 | A PERFECT DAY FRANCHISE, INC., a |
| 16 | California Corporation, et al., |
| 17 | Defendants. |
| 18 | |
| 19 | Plaintiffs' expert report of Dr. Petersen refers to, and incorporates by reference, several |
| 20 | tables. However, Plaintiffs did not e-file these tables when the application for default judgment |
| 21 | was filed. Plaintiffs are ordered to e-file, by June 12, 2012 at noon, the tables of information |
| 22 | referred to in Dr. Petersen's report. |
| 23 | IT IS SO ORDERED. |
| 24 | Dated: June 11, 2012 |
| 25 | United States District Judge |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | Case No.: 10-CV-01189-LHK ORDER REGARDING EXPERT DAMAGES REPORT |

Li v. A Perfect Day Franchise, Inc

Doc. 521