## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

\*\*E-filed May 24, 2010\*\*

JOANN JOSEPHINE RIGGS,	Case No. C10-01215-HRL
Plaintiff, v.  PROBER & RAPHAEL, A LAW CORPORATION, f/k/a POLK, PROBER & RAPHAEL, A LAW CORPORATION, a California corporation; and DEAN RUSSELL PROBER, individually and in his official capacity,	STIPULATION AND <del>[PROPOSED]</del> ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Defendants.	
Counsel report that they have met and stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and AD	conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following PR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to participate in the followin	g ADR process:
more likely to meet their needs that any or	n (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)  ement conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably ther form of ADR, must participate in an ADR phone ey must instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone
Private Process:  Private ADR (please ide	entify process and provider)
referring the case to an ADR p	ne (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order rocess unless otherwise ordered.)
other requested deadlin	e

Dated: May 19, 2010	/s/ Fred W. Schwinn Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: May 19, 2010	/s/ Jonathan Blute Attorney for Defendant
- <del>-</del> P	<del>PROPOSED]</del> ORDER
Pursuant to the Stipulation above Non-binding Arbit Early Neutral Eval Mediation Private ADR  Deadline for ADR session 90 days from the dother	luation (ENE)
IT IS SO ORDERED.  Dated:	UNITED STATES DISTRICT/MAGISTRATE JUDGE