Duran v. Marfia et a	al	Doc. 5
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6 7	LINUTED STA	TEC DISTRICT COLIDT
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
10	CARLOS DURAN,	Case No.: C 10-1380 PVT
11	Plaintiff,	Order Setting Deadline for Parties
12	v. )	TO FILE EITHER A "CONSENT TO PROCEED BEFORE A UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE
13	CITY OF SAN JOSE, et al.,	Judge," or Else a "Declination to Proceed Before a United States
14	Defendants. )	MAGISTRATE JUDGE AND REQUEST FOR REASSIGNMENT"
15	)	
16	Currently pending before the court is Plaintiff's Application to Proceed <i>In Forma Pauperis</i> .	
17	Based on the application and the file herein,	
18	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, no later than July 20, 2010, Plaintiff shall file either a	
19	"Consent to Proceed Before a United States Magistrate Judge," or else a "Declination to Proceed	
20	Before a United States Magistrate Judge and Request for Reassignment." Both forms are available	
21	from the clerk of the court, or from the court's website at www.cand.uscourts.gov in the Forms	
22	(Civil) section. Absent consent, a Magistrate Judge is not authorized to rule on certain matters, such	
23	as requests to proceed in forma pauperis. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(c)(1); see also, e.g., Tripati v. Rison,	
24	847 F.2d 548 (9 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1988). After receipt of whichever form Plaintiff chooses to file, the	
25	appropriate judge will rule on the pending application	
26	Dated: 7/1/10	
27	PATRICIA V. TRUMBULL United States Magistrate Judge	
28		
	Order, page 1	
		Dockets Justia com