1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10		TRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11	SAN JC	DSE DIVISION
12	MELICCA FEDDINGTON CHEDVI	C_{exc} No. CW 10.01455 LUE (UDL)
13	MELISSA FERRINGTON, CHERYL SCHMIDT, CHRISTOPHER BENNETT	Case No. CV-10-01455 LHK (HRL)
14	AND CHRISTI HALL, Plaintiffs,	[PROPOSED] CONDITIONAL CLASS CERTIFICATION ORDER
15		Complaint Filed: April 6, 2010 Trial Date: None
16	v. MCAFEE, INC., ARPU, INC., D/B/A	Judge: Hon. Lucy H. Koh
17	TRYANDBUY.COM,	
18	Defendants.	
19	WHEREAS, Plaintiffs Meliss	a Ferrington, Cheryl Schmidt, Christopher Bennett
20	and Christi Hall ("Plaintiffs") and Defendant	s McAfee, Inc. ("McAfee") and Arpu, Inc., d/b/a
21	TryandBuy.com. ("Arpu") (collectively, "Defendants") have entered into a Settlement Agreement	
22	("Agreement") with respect to this matter; and	
23	WHEREAS, the Court has rev	viewed the Agreement and the parties' papers in
24	support of preliminary approval of the Agreement and in support of conditional certification of a	
25	Plaintiff Settlement Class; and	
26	GOOD CAUSE APPEARING AND SOLELY FOR THE PURPOSE OF	
27	SETTLEMENT IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE AGREEMENT, THE COURT FINDS AND	
28		1 Case No. CV 10-1455-LHK (HRL)
	CONDITIONAL CL	ASS CERTIFICATION ORDER

1

ORDERS AS FOLLOWS:

2	1. The Court hereby certifies a Plaintiff Settlement Class consisting of all		
3	persons in the United States who during the Class Period purchased software from McAfee's		
4	website and subsequently accepted an Arpu pop-up advertisement offer presented at the		
5	conclusion of the McAfee transaction and were charged by Arpu for the product, service or		
6	software sold in the Arpu pop-up. The Plaintiff Settlement Class excludes McAfee, Arpu, any		
7	entity in which McAfee or Arpu has a controlling interest, any of McAfee's or Arpu's		
8	subsidiaries, affiliates, officers, directors, or employees, and any legal representative, heir,		
9	successor, or assignee of McAfee or Arpu, and any persons and entities that timely opt-out of the		
10	Plaintiff Settlement Class.		
11	2. The above-described Plaintiff Settlement Class is so numerous that joinder		
12	of all members is impracticable.		
13	3. There are questions of law or fact common to the above-described Plaintiff		
14	Settlement Class.		
15	4. The claims advanced by Plaintiffs are typical of the claims of the above-		
16	described Plaintiff Settlement Class.		
17	5. The Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the above-		
18	described Plaintiff Settlement Class, and are conditionally appointed as representatives of the		
19	Plaintiff Settlement Class for the purpose of implementing the settlement in accordance with the		
20	Agreement. Cohen Milstein Sellers & Toll PLLC are conditionally appointed as counsel for the		
21	Plaintiff Settlement Class.		
22	6. The questions of law or fact common to the members of the above-		
23	described Plaintiff Settlement Class predominate over the questions affecting only individual		
24	members.		
25	7. Certification of the above-described Plaintiff Settlement Class by the Court		
26	in this matter is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the		
27	controversy.		
28	8. Notice of the pendency of this case and the proposed settlement shall be		
	2 Case No. CV 10-1455-LHK (HRL)		
	CONDITIONAL CLASS CERTIFICATION ORDER		

1	provided to the Plaintiff Settlement Class as specified in the Court's Order Granting Preliminary
2	Approval of Class Action Settlement, filed herewith. Any Plaintiff Settlement Class member may
3	elect not to be a part of the Plaintiff Settlement Class and not to be bound by the Agreement, as
4	set forth in the Summary Published Notice, Summary Email Notice and Long Form Notice.
5	9. In the event that Final Approval of the settlement does not occur for any
6	reason, or in the event of termination of the Agreement for any reason, this Order, and all of its
7	provisions, shall be deemed null and void <i>ab initio</i> , shall have no force or effect whatsoever, shall
8	not be used in this or in any other proceeding for any purpose, and this action shall revert to its
9	status as existed prior to the date of this Order. Nothing in this Order is, or may be construed as,
10	an admission or concession by or against any of the parties on any point of fact or law.
11	IT IS SO ORDERED.
12	August 16, 2011
13	Honorable Lucy H. Koh
14	Judge of the United States District Court
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	CONDITIONAL CLASS CEPTIFICATION OPDER
	CONDITIONAL CLASS CERTIFICATION ORDER