

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DAVID RADEMAKER,
Plaintiff,
v.
A. HEDGEPEETH, Warden, et al.,
Defendants.

No. C 10-1659 LHK (PR)
ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR
EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE
OPPOSITION TO
DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR
SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Plaintiff, a state prisoner, filed the instant *pro se* prisoner complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Before the Court is Plaintiff's motion for extension of time to file his opposition to Defendants' motion for summary judgment.

Because it appears no party would be prejudiced by an extension, Plaintiff's motion is GRANTED. Plaintiff shall file his opposition, **on or before February 9, 2011**. Defendants shall file their reply no later than **fifteen (15) days** thereafter.

This order terminates docket no. 23.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 1/25/11


LUCY H. KOH
United States District Judge