UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ANDREW MIRGOLI	
	CASE NO. 10-cv-01940 PVT
Plaintiff(s), v.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
CBSJ FINANCIAL CORP.	
Defendant(s).	
Counsel report that they have met and following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R.	conferred regarding ADR and have reached the 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to participate in the follows	ing ADR process:
appreciably more likely to meet their needs t	E) (ADR L.R. 5) lement conference with a Magistrate Judge is than any other form of ADR, must participate in an aform. They must instead file a Notice of Need for le 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
The parties agree to hold the ADR session by the presumptive deadline (The referring the case to an ADR p	y: deadline is 90 days from the date of the order rocess unless otherwise ordered.)
other requested deadline	
Dated: July 9, 2010	_/s/ Todd FriedmanAttorney for Plaintiff Todd Friedman
Dated: July 9, 2010	/s/ Andrew M. Steinheimer Attorney for Defendant Andrew M. Steinheimer

NDC-ADR6

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the capt	ioned matter is hereby referred	to:
Non-binding Arbitration		
Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)		
X Mediation		
Private ADR		
Deadline for ADR session		
90 days from the date of this order.		
other		
IT IS SO ORDERED.		
Dated: 7/15/10	m/k	
		~
	UNITED STATES DISTRI	CT IIIDGE