Case5:10-cv-02037-LHK Document59 Filed12/08/10 Page1 of 19	enentech, Inc.	v. Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania				Doc. 62
2 3 4 5 6 6 7 7 8		Case5:10-cv-02037-LHK Docu	ument59	Filed12/08/10	Page1 of 19	
2 3 4 5 6 6 7 7 8						
STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER CASE NO. 5:10-CV-2037-LHK (PSG) STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER CASE NO. 5:10-CV-2037-LHK (PSG) STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER CASE NO. 5:10-CV-2037-LHK (PSG) STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER FOR LITICATION INVOLVING CONTIDENTIAL INFORMATION AND/OR TRADE SECRETS STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER CASE NO. 5:10-CV-2037-LHK (PSG) STIPULATED PROTECTIVE	1					
STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER CASE NO. 5:10-CV-2037-LHK (PSG) STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER CASE NO. 5:10-CV-2037-LHK (PSG) STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER CASE NO. 5:10-CV-2037-LHK (PSG) STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER FOR LITICATION INVOLVING CONTIDENTIAL INFORMATION AND/OR TRADE SECRETS STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER CASE NO. 5:10-CV-2037-LHK (PSG) STIPULATED PROTECTIVE	2					
STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER CASE NO. 5:10-CV-2037-LHK (PSG) Case No.	3					
STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER CASE NO. 5:10-CV-2037-LHK (PSG) Case No.	4					
NORTHERN DISTRICT COURT	5					
STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER CASE NO. 5:10-CV-2037-LHK (PSG) STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER CASE NO. 5:10-CV-2037-LHK (PSG) STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER FOR LITTICATION INVOLVING PATENTS, HIGHLY SENSITIVE CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION AND/OR TRADE SECRETS STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER FOR LITTICATION INVOLVING PATENTS, HIGHLY SENSITIVE CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION AND/OR TRADE SECRETS STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER CASE NO. 5:10-CV-2037-LHK (PSG) STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER CASE NO. 5:10-CV-2037-LH	6					
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	7					
SAN JOSE DIVISION GENENTECH, INC., Plaintiff, vs. THE TRUSTEES OF THE UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA, a Pennsylvania non-profit corporation, Defendant. Defendant. STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER FOR LITIGATION INVOLVING PATENTS, HIGHLY SENSITIVE CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION AND/OR TRADE SECRETS STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER CASE NO. 5:10-CV-2037-LHK (PSG)	8	UNITED S	TATES D	ISTRICT COUI	RT	
GENENTECH, INC., Plaintiff, vs. THE TRUSTEES OF THE UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA, a Pennsylvania non-profit corporation, Defendant. Defendant. STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER FOR LITIGATION INVOLVING PATENTS, HIGHLY SENSITIVE CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION AND/OR TRADE SECRETS AND/OR TRADE SECRETS STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER CASE NO. 5:10-CV-2037-LHK (PSG)	9	NORTHERN	DISTRIC	T OF CALIFOI	RNIA	
Plaintiff, vs. THE TRUSTEES OF THE UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA, a Pennsylvania non-profit corporation, Defendant. Defendant. Plaintiff, vs. STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER FOR LITIGATION INVOLVING PATENTS, HIGHLY SENSITIVE CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION AND/OR TRADE SECRETS STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER FOR LITIGATION INVOLVING PATENTS, HIGHLY SENSITIVE CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION AND/OR TRADE SECRETS STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER FOR LITIGATION INVOLVING PATENTS, HIGHLY SENSITIVE CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION AND/OR TRADE SECRETS STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER CASE NO. 5:10-CV-2037-LHK (PSG)	10	SAN JOSE DIVISION				
vs. THE TRUSTEES OF THE UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA, a Pennsylvania non-profit corporation, Defendant. Defendant. Defendant. STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER FOR LITIGATION INVOLVING PATENTS, HIGHLY SENSITIVE CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION AND/OR TRADE SECRETS AND/OR TRADE SECRETS STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER CASE NO. 5:10-CV-2037-LHK (PSG)	11	GENENTECH, INC.,)	Case No:	5:10-CV-2037-LHK (PSG)	
THE TRUSTEES OF THE UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA, a Pennsylvania non-profit corporation, Defendant. Defendant. Defendant. FOR LITIGATION INVOLVING PATENTS, HIGHLY SENSITIVE CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION AND/OR TRADE SECRETS FOR LITIGATION INVOLVING PATENTS, HIGHLY SENSITIVE CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION AND/OR TRADE SECRETS FOR LITIGATION INVOLVING PATENTS, HIGHLY SENSITIVE CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION AND/OR TRADE SECRETS FOR LITIGATION INVOLVING PATENTS, HIGHLY SENSITIVE CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION AND/OR TRADE SECRETS FOR LITIGATION INVOLVING PATENTS, HIGHLY SENSITIVE CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION AND/OR TRADE SECRETS FOR LITIGATION INVOLVING PATENTS, HIGHLY SENSITIVE CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION AND/OR TRADE SECRETS FOR LITIGATION INVOLVING PATENTS, HIGHLY SENSITIVE CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION AND/OR TRADE SECRETS FOR LITIGATION INVOLVING PATENTS, HIGHLY SENSITIVE CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION AND/OR TRADE SECRETS FOR LITIGATION INVOLVING PATENTS, HIGHLY SENSITIVE CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION AND/OR TRADE SECRETS	12	Plaintiff,)			
THE TRUSTEES OF THE UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA, a Pennsylvania non-profit corporation, Defendant. Defendant. PATENTS, HIGHLY SENSITIVE CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION AND/OR TRADE SECRETS	13	vs.)			
15 Corporation, Defendant. AND/OR TRADE SECRETS 16 Defendant. Defendan	14	THE TRUSTEES OF THE UNIVERSITY	Y OF)	Y OF PATENTS, HIGHLY SENSIT	IGHLY SENSITIVE TAL INFORMATION	N
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 LAI 1919996v.1 STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER CASE NO. 5:10-CV-2037-LHK (PSG)	15	corporation,))	AND/OR TRA	ADE SECRETS	
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 LAI 1919996v.1 STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER CASE NO. 5:10-CV-2037-LHK (PSG)	16	Defendant.)			
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 LAI 1919996v.1 STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER CASE NO. 5:10-CV-2037-LHK (PSG)	17					
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 LAI 1919996v.1 STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER CASE NO. 5:10-CV-2037-LHK (PSG)	18)			
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 LAI 1919996v.1 STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER CASE NO. 5:10-CV-2037-LHK (PSG)	19					
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 LA1 1919996v.1 STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER CASE NO. 5:10-CV-2037-LHK (PSG)	20					
23 24 25 26 27 28 LA1 1919996v.1 STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER CASE NO. 5:10-CV-2037-LHK (PSG)	21					
24 25 26 27 28 LAI 1919996v.1 STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER CASE NO. 5:10-CV-2037-LHK (PSG)	22					
25 26 27 28 LAI 1919996v.1 STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER CASE NO. 5:10-CV-2037-LHK (PSG)	23					
26 27 28 LAI 1919996v.1 STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER CASE NO. 5:10-CV-2037-LHK (PSG)	24					
27 28 LAI 1919996v.1 STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER CASE NO. 5:10-CV-2037-LHK (PSG)	25					
LA1 1919996v.1 STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER CASE NO. 5:10-CV-2037-LHK (PSG)	26					
LAI 1919996v.1 STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER CASE NO. 5:10-CV-2037-LHK (PSG)	27					
STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER CASE NO. 5:10-CV-2037-LHK (PSG)	28					
CASE NO. 5:10-CV-2037-LHK (PSG)	LA1 1919996v.1					
II		CASE NO	O. 5:10-CV-2	2037-LHK (PSG)	Dockets.	Justia.com

1. PURPOSES AND LIMITATIONS

Disclosure and discovery activity in this action are likely to involve production of confidential, proprietary, or private information for which special protection from public disclosure and from use for any purpose other than prosecuting this litigation may be warranted. Accordingly, the parties hereby stipulate to and petition the court to enter the following Stipulated Protective Order. The parties acknowledge that this Order does not confer blanket protections on all disclosures or responses to discovery and that the protection it affords from public disclosure and use extends only to the limited information or items that are entitled to confidential treatment under the applicable legal principles. The parties further acknowledge, as set forth in Section 14.4, below, that this Stipulated Protective Order does not entitle them to file confidential information under seal; Civil Local Rule 79-5 sets forth the procedures that must be followed and the standards that will be applied when a party seeks permission from the court to file material under seal.

2. DEFINITIONS

- 2.1 <u>Challenging Party</u>: a Party or Non-Party that challenges the designation of information or items under this Order.
- 2.2 <u>"CONFIDENTIAL" Information or Items</u>: information (regardless of how it is generated, stored or maintained) or tangible things that qualify for protection under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c).
- 2.3 <u>Counsel (without qualifier)</u>: Outside Counsel of Record and House Counsel (as well as their support staff).
- 2.4 <u>Designated House Counsel</u>: House Counsel who seek access to "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" information in this matter.
- 2.5 <u>Designating Party</u>: a Party or Non-Party that designates information or items that it produces in disclosures or in responses to discovery as "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY."
- 2.6 <u>Disclosure or Discovery Material</u>: all items or information, regardless of the medium or manner in which it is generated, stored, or maintained (including, among other things,

testimony, transcripts, and tangible things), that are produced or generated in disclosures or responses to discovery in this matter.

- Expert: a person with specialized knowledge or experience in a matter pertinent to the litigation who (1) has been retained by a Party or its counsel to serve as an expert witness or as a consultant in this action, (2) is not a past or current employee of a Party or of a Party's competitor, and (3) at the time of retention, is not anticipated to become an employee of a Party or of a Party's competitor.
- 2.8 <u>"HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS" EYES ONLY" Information</u> or Items: extremely sensitive "Confidential Information or Items," disclosure of which to another Party or Non-Party would create a substantial risk of serious harm that could not be avoided by less restrictive means.
- 2.9 <u>House Counsel</u>: attorneys who are employees of a party to this action.House Counsel does not include Outside Counsel of Record or any other outside counsel.
- 2.10 <u>Non-Party</u>: any natural person, partnership, corporation, association, or other legal entity not named as a Party to this action.
- 2.11 Outside Counsel of Record: attorneys who are not employees of a party to this action but are retained to represent or advise a party to this action and have appeared in this action on behalf of that party or are affiliated with a law firm which has appeared on behalf of that party.
- 2.12 <u>Party</u>: any party to this action, including all of its officers, directors, employees, consultants, retained experts, and Outside Counsel of Record (and their support staffs).
- 2.13 <u>Producing Party</u>: a Party or Non-Party that produces Disclosure or Discovery Material in this action.
- 2.14 <u>Professional Vendors</u>: persons or entities that provide litigation support services (e.g., photocopying, videotaping, translating, preparing exhibits or demonstrations, and organizing, storing, or retrieving data in any form or medium) and their employees and subcontractors.

- 2.15 <u>Protected Material</u>: any Disclosure or Discovery Material that is designated as "CONFIDENTIAL," or as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY."
- 2.16 <u>Receiving Party</u>: a Party that receives Disclosure or Discovery Material from a Producing Party.

3. SCOPE

The protections conferred by this Stipulation and Order cover not only Protected Material (as defined above), but also (1) any information copied or extracted from Protected Material; (2) all copies, excerpts, summaries, or compilations of Protected Material; and (3) any testimony, conversations, or presentations by Parties or their Counsel that might reveal Protected Material. However, the protections conferred by this Stipulation and Order do not cover the following information: (a) any information that is in the public domain at the time of disclosure to a Receiving Party or becomes part of the public domain after its disclosure to a Receiving Party as a result of publication not involving a violation of this Order, including becoming part of the public record through trial or otherwise; and (b) any information known to the Receiving Party prior to the disclosure or obtained by the Receiving Party after the disclosure from a source who obtained the information lawfully and under no obligation of confidentiality to the Designating Party. Any use of Protected Material at trial shall be governed by a separate agreement or order.

4. DURATION

Even after final disposition of this litigation, the confidentiality obligations imposed by this Order shall remain in effect until a Designating Party agrees otherwise in writing or a court order otherwise directs. Final disposition shall be deemed to be the later of (1) dismissal of all claims and defenses in this action, with or without prejudice; and (2) final judgment herein after the completion and exhaustion of all appeals, rehearings, remands, trials, or reviews of this action, including the time limits for filing any motions or applications for extension of time pursuant to applicable law.

5. DESIGNATING PROTECTED MATERIAL

5.1 <u>Exercise of Restraint and Care in Designating Material for Protection</u>. Each Party or Non-Party that designates information or items for protection under this Order must take

care to limit any such designation to specific material that qualifies under the appropriate standards. To the extent it is practical to do so, the Designating Party must designate for protection only those parts of material, documents, items, or oral or written communications that qualify – so that other portions of the material, documents, items, or communications for which protection is not warranted are not swept unjustifiably within the ambit of this Order.

Mass, indiscriminate, or routinized designations are prohibited. Designations that are shown to be clearly unjustified or that have been made for an improper purpose (e.g., to unnecessarily encumber or retard the case development process or to impose unnecessary expenses and burdens on other parties) expose the Designating Party to sanctions.

If it comes to a Designating Party's attention that information or items that it designated for protection do not qualify for protection at all or do not qualify for the level of protection initially asserted, that Designating Party must promptly notify all other parties that it is withdrawing the mistaken designation.

5.2 <u>Manner and Timing of Designations</u>. Except as otherwise provided in this Order (see, e.g., second paragraph of section 5.2(a) below), or as otherwise stipulated or ordered, Disclosure or Discovery Material that qualifies for protection under this Order must be clearly so designated before the material is disclosed or produced.

Designation in conformity with this Order requires:

(a) <u>for information in documentary form</u> (e.g., paper or electronic documents, but excluding transcripts of depositions or other pretrial or trial proceedings), that the Producing Party affix the legend "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" to each page that contains protected material. If only a portion or portions of the material on a page qualifies for protection, the Producing Party also must clearly identify the protected portion(s) (e.g., by making appropriate markings in the margins) and must specify, for each portion, the level of protection being asserted.

A Party or Non-Party that makes original documents or materials available for inspection need not designate them for protection until after the inspecting Party has indicated which material it would like copied and produced. During the inspection and before the designation, all of

1	t
2	I
3	C
4	C
5	I
6	(
7	I
8	г
	11

the material made available for inspection shall be deemed "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY." After the inspecting Party has identified the documents it wants copied and produced, the Producing Party must determine which documents, or portions thereof, qualify for protection under this Order. Then, before producing the specified documents, the Producing Party must affix the appropriate legend ("CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY") to each page that contains Protected Material. If only a portion or portions of the material on a page qualifies for protection, the Producing Party also must clearly identify the protected portion(s) (e.g., by making appropriate markings in the margins) and must specify, for each portion, the level of protection being asserted.

(b) for testimony given in deposition or in other pretrial or trial proceedings, that the Designating Party identify on the record, before the close of the deposition, hearing, or other proceeding, all protected testimony and specify the level of protection being asserted. When it is impractical to identify separately each portion of testimony that is entitled to protection and it appears that substantial portions of the testimony may qualify for protection, the Designating Party may invoke on the record (before the deposition, hearing, or other proceeding is concluded) a right to have up to 21 days to identify the specific portions of the testimony as to which protection is sought and to specify the level of protection being asserted. Only those portions of the testimony that are appropriately designated for protection within the 21 days shall be covered by the provisions of this Stipulated Protective Order. Alternatively, a Designating Party may specify, at the deposition or up to 21 days afterwards if that period is properly invoked, that the entire transcript shall be treated as "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY."

Parties shall give the other parties notice if they reasonably expect a deposition, hearing or other proceeding to include Protected Material so that the other parties can ensure that only authorized individuals who have signed the "Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be Bound" (Exhibit A) are present at those proceedings. The use of a document as an exhibit at a deposition shall not in any way affect its designation as "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY."

Transcripts containing Protected Material shall have an obvious legend on the title

page that the transcript contains Protected Material, and the title page shall be followed by a list of
all pages (including line numbers as appropriate) that have been designated as Protected Material
and the level of protection being asserted by the Designating Party. The Designating Party shall
inform the court reporter of these requirements. Any transcript that is prepared before the expiration
of a 21-day period for designation shall be treated during that period as if it had been designated
"HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" in its entirety unless otherwise
agreed. After the expiration of that period, the transcript shall be treated only as actually designated.

- other tangible items, that the Producing Party affix in a prominent place on the exterior of the container or containers in which the information or item is stored the legend "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY". If only a portion or portions of the information or item warrant protection, the Producing Party, to the extent practicable, shall identify the protected portion(s) and specify the level of protection being asserted.
- 5.3 <u>Inadvertent Failures to Designate</u>. If timely corrected, an inadvertent failure to designate qualified information or items does not, standing alone, waive the Designating Party's right to secure protection under this Order for such material. Upon timely correction of a designation, the Receiving Party must make reasonable efforts to assure that the material is treated in accordance with the provisions of this Order.

6. CHALLENGING CONFIDENTIALITY DESIGNATIONS

- 6.1 <u>Timing of Challenges</u>. Any Party or Non-Party may challenge a designation of confidentiality at any time. Unless a prompt challenge to a Designating Party's confidentiality designation is necessary to avoid foreseeable, substantial unfairness, unnecessary economic burdens, or a significant disruption or delay of the litigation, a Party does not waive its right to challenge a confidentiality designation by electing not to mount a challenge promptly after the original designation is disclosed.
- 6.2 <u>Meet and Confer.</u> The Challenging Party shall initiate the dispute resolution process by providing written notice of each designation it is challenging and describing the basis for each challenge. To avoid ambiguity as to whether a challenge has been made, the written notice

Case5:10-cv-02037-LHK Document59 Filed12/08/10 Page8 of 19

must recite that the challenge to confidentiality is being made in accordance with this specific paragraph of the Protective Order. The parties shall attempt to resolve each challenge in good faith and must begin the process by conferring directly (in voice to voice dialogue; other forms of communication are not sufficient) within 14 days of the date of service of notice. In conferring, the Challenging Party must explain the basis for its belief that the confidentiality designation was not proper and must give the Designating Party an opportunity to review the designated material, to reconsider the circumstances, and, if no change in designation is offered, to explain the basis for the chosen designation. A Challenging Party may proceed to the next stage of the challenge process only if it has engaged in this meet and confer process first or establishes that the Designating Party is unwilling to participate in the meet and confer process in a timely manner.

6.3 <u>Judicial Intervention</u>. If the Parties cannot resolve a challenge without court intervention, the Designating Party shall file and serve a motion to retain confidentiality under Civil Local Rule 7 (and in compliance with Civil Local Rule 79-5, if applicable) within 21 days of the initial notice of challenge or within 14 days of the parties agreeing that the meet and confer process will not resolve their dispute, whichever is earlier. Each such motion must be accompanied by a competent declaration affirming that the movant has complied with the meet and confer requirements imposed in the preceding paragraph. Failure by the Designating Party to make such a motion including the required declaration within 21 days (or 14 days, if applicable) shall automatically waive the confidentiality designation for each challenged designation. In addition, the Challenging Party may file a motion challenging a confidentiality designation at any time if there is good cause for doing so, including a challenge to the designation of a deposition transcript or any portions thereof. Any motion brought pursuant to this provision must be accompanied by a competent declaration affirming that the movant has complied with the meet and confer requirements imposed by the preceding paragraph.

The burden of persuasion in any such challenge proceeding shall be on the

LA1 1919996v.1

¹ It may be appropriate in certain circumstances for the parties to agree to shift the burden to move on the Challenging Party after a certain number of challenges are made to avoid an abuse of the process. The burden of persuasion would remain on the Designating Party.

Designating Party. Frivolous challenges and those made for an improper purpose (e.g., to harass or impose unnecessary expenses and burdens on other parties) may expose the Challenging Party to sanctions. Unless the Designating Party has waived the confidentiality designation by failing to file a motion to retain confidentiality as described above, all parties shall continue to afford the material in question the level of protection to which it is entitled under the Producing Party's designation until the court rules on the challenge.

7. ACCESS TO AND USE OF PROTECTED MATERIAL²

7.1 <u>Basic Principles.</u> A Receiving Party may use Protected Material that is disclosed or produced by another Party or by a Non-Party in connection with this case only for prosecuting, defending, or attempting to settle this litigation. Such Protected Material may be disclosed only to the categories of persons and under the conditions described in this Order. When the litigation has been terminated, a Receiving Party must comply with the provisions of section 15 below (FINAL DISPOSITION).

Protected Material must be stored and maintained by a Receiving Party at a location and in a secure manner³ that ensures that access is limited to the persons authorized under this Order.

- 7.2 <u>Disclosure of "CONFIDENTIAL" Information or Items</u>. Unless otherwise ordered by the court or permitted in writing by the Designating Party, a Receiving Party may disclose any information or item designated "CONFIDENTIAL" only to:
- (a) the Receiving Party's Outside Counsel of Record in this action, as well as employees of said Outside Counsel of Record to whom it is reasonably necessary to disclose the information for this litigation and who have signed the "Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be Bound" that is attached hereto as Exhibit A;
- (b) the officers, directors, and employees (including House Counsel) of the Receiving Party to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for this litigation and who have signed the "Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be Bound" (Exhibit A);

² Documents and information previously reviewed and produced by either party pursuant to the previous stipulated protective order need not be re-labeled.

³ It may be appropriate under certain circumstances to require the Receiving Party to store any electronic Protected Material in password-protected form.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

- (c) Experts (as defined in this Order) of the Receiving Party to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for this litigation and who have signed the "Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be Bound" (Exhibit A) and (2) as to whom the procedures set forth in paragraph 7.4(a)(1), below, have been followed;
 - (d) the court and its personnel;
- (e) court reporters and their staff, professional jury or trial consultants, and Professional Vendors to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for this litigation and who have signed the "Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be Bound" (Exhibit A);
- (f) during their depositions, witnesses in the action to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary and who have signed the "Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be Bound" (Exhibit A), unless otherwise agreed by the Designating Party or ordered by the court. Pages of transcribed deposition testimony or exhibits to depositions that reveal Protected Material must be separately bound by the court reporter and may not be disclosed to anyone except as permitted under this Stipulated Protective Order.
- (g) the author or recipient of a document containing the information or a custodian or other person who otherwise possessed or knew the information.
- 7.3 <u>Disclosure of "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES</u>

 ONLY" Information or Items. Unless otherwise ordered by the court or permitted in writing by the Designating Party, a Receiving Party may disclose any information or item designated "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" only to:
- (a) the Receiving Party's Outside Counsel of Record in this action, as well as employees of said Outside Counsel of Record to whom it is reasonably necessary to disclose the information for this litigation and who have signed the "Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be Bound" that is attached hereto as Exhibit A;

- (b) Designated House Counsel of the Receiving Party⁴ (1) who has no involvement in competitive decision-making, (2) to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for this litigation, and (3) who has signed the "Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be Bound" (Exhibit A);⁵
- (c) Experts of the Receiving Party (1) to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for this litigation, (2) who have signed the "Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be Bound" (Exhibit A), and (3) as to whom the procedures set forth in paragraph 7.4(a)(1), below, have been followed;
 - (d) the court and its personnel;
- (e) court reporters and their staff, professional jury or trial consultants,⁶ and Professional Vendors to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for this litigation and who have signed the "Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be Bound" (Exhibit A); and
- (f) the author or recipient of a document containing the information or a custodian or other person who otherwise possessed or knew the information.

⁴ Designated House Counsel who may access "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" information under this provision are limited to the five (5) in-house attorneys as previously identified and agreed to by the parties. The identified and agreed to attorneys are as follows: Sean Johnston, Gary Loeb, Laura Storto, Mark Jackson, and Atulya Agarwal for Genentech; and Lee Dobkin, Wendy White, Kate Donohue, Robert Firestone, and Robert Terrell for the University of Pennsylvania.

Sa to Sean Johnston, the General Counsel of Genentech, and Lee Dobkin, the Deputy General Counsel of the University of Pennsylvania, such Designated House Counsel may view, regardless of whether such materials have been designated "Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only" or contain such Protected Information, (i) all motions, memoranda, and briefs filed in this Action, including all drafts of such motions, memoranda and briefs that a party intends to file (but not any exhibits thereto that have been designated as "Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only" by the Designating Party or that contain the Designating Party's Protected Information, absent prior written consent of the Designating Party), and (ii) all expert reports, and all drafts of expert reports that a party intends to serve, prepared for use in this Action (but not any exhibits cited in or appended thereto that have been designated "Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only" by the Designating Party or that contain the Designating Party's Protected Information, absent prior written consent of the Designating Party). No party shall include Protected Information in any drafts of motions, memoranda, briefs, or expert reports without a good faith and reasonable belief that such material will appear in the final filed or served version, or for the sole purpose of disclosing Protected Information to Sean Johnston or Lee Dobkin.

Mith respect to mock jurors, the following restrictions apply to Protected Information designated as "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only": (i) mock jurors may not take possession of any such information but may only be presented with such information orally or through visual aids such as would be used at trial; and (ii) no mock

⁶ With respect to mock jurors, the following restrictions apply to Protected Information designated as "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only": (i) mock jurors may not take possession of any such information but may only be presented with such information orally or through visual aids such as would be used at trial; and (ii) no mock juror shall participate in any mock jury exercise involving the disclosure of "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential – Attorneys' Eyes Only" information designated by another party or non-party if, at the time thereof, he or she is employed by, or consults with, a "Prohibited Entity" of such party or non-party. A "Prohibited Entity" means any of up to ten (10) entities identified by a party or non-party. Each party shall provide a list in writing of Prohibited Entities to the other party within thirty (30) days following the date of entry of this Order. Any non-party shall provide a list in writing of Prohibited Entities to the parties within thirty (30) days from the date of its first production of documents or things designated as Protected Information under this Order. Thereafter, no additional Prohibited Entities may be identified by a party or non-party absent agreement of the parties or order of the Court. The mock jurors must also sign the "Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be Bound" (Exhibit A) or a simplified version of such Undertaking approved by the parties.

7.4 <u>Procedures for Approving or Objecting to Disclosure of "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" Information or Items to Experts.</u>

- (a) (1) Unless otherwise ordered by the court or agreed to in writing by the Designating Party, a Party that seeks to disclose to an Expert (as defined in this Order) any information or item that has been designated "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" pursuant to paragraphs 7.2(c) and 7.3(c) first must make a written request to the Designating Party that (1) identifies the general categories of "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" information that the Receiving Party seeks permission to disclose to the Expert, (2) sets forth the full name of the Expert and the city and state of his or her primary residence, (3) attaches a copy of the Expert's current resume, (4) identifies the Expert's current employer(s), (5) identifies each person or entity from whom the Expert has received compensation or funding for work in his or her areas of expertise or to whom the expert has provided professional services, including in connection with a litigation, at any time during the preceding five years, ⁷ and (6) identifies (by name and number of the case, filing date, and location of court) any litigation in connection with which the Expert has offered expert testimony, including through a declaration, report, or testimony at a deposition or trial, during the preceding five years. ⁸
- (b) A Party that makes a request and provides the information specified in the preceding respective paragraphs may disclose the subject Protected Material to the identified Expert unless, within 14 days of delivering the request, the Party receives a written objection from the Designating Party. Any such objection must set forth in detail the grounds on which it is based.
- (c) A Party that receives a timely written objection must meet and confer with the Designating Party (through direct voice to voice dialogue) to try to resolve the matter by agreement within seven days of the written objection. If no agreement is reached, the Party seeking to make the

⁷ If the Expert believes any of this information is subject to a confidentiality obligation to a third-party, then the Expert should provide whatever information the Expert believes can be disclosed without violating any confidentiality agreements, and the Party seeking to disclose to the Expert shall be available to meet and confer with the Designating Party regarding any such engagement.

⁸ It may be appropriate in certain circumstances to restrict the Expert from undertaking certain limited work prior to the termination of the litigation that could foreseeably result in an improper use of the Designating Party's "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" information.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

disclosure to the Expert may file a motion as provided in Civil Local Rule 7 (and in compliance with Civil Local Rule 79-5, if applicable) seeking permission from the court to do so. Any such motion must describe the circumstances with specificity, set forth in detail the reasons why the disclosure to the Expert is reasonably necessary, assess the risk of harm that the disclosure would entail, and suggest any additional means that could be used to reduce that risk. In addition, any such motion must be accompanied by a competent declaration describing the parties' efforts to resolve the matter by agreement (i.e., the extent and the content of the meet and confer discussions) and setting forth the reasons advanced by the Designating Party for its refusal to approve the disclosure.

In any such proceeding, the Party opposing disclosure to the Expert shall bear the burden of proving that the risk of harm that the disclosure would entail (under the safeguards proposed) outweighs the Receiving Party's need to disclose the Protected Material to its Expert.

Experts previously disclosed by either party pursuant to the previous stipulated protective order and not timely objected to thereunder shall be deemed approved under this Section, such that disclosure of that expert need not be repeated.

8. PROTECTED MATERIAL SUBPOENAED OR ORDERED PRODUCED IN OTHER LITIGATION

If a Party is served with a subpoena or a court order issued in other litigation that compels disclosure of any information or items designated in this action as "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" that Party must:

- (a) promptly notify in writing the Designating Party. Such notification shall include a copy of the subpoena or court order;
- (b) promptly notify in writing the party who caused the subpoena or order to issue in the other litigation that some or all of the material covered by the subpoena or order is subject to this Protective Order. Such notification shall include a copy of this Stipulated Protective Order; and
- (c) cooperate with respect to all reasonable procedures sought to be pursued by the Designating Party whose Protected Material may be affected.⁹

⁹ The purpose of imposing these duties is to alert the interested parties to the existence of this Protective Order and to afford the Designating Party in this case an opportunity to try to protect its confidentiality interests in the court from

If the Designating Party timely seeks a protective order, the Party served with the subpoena or court order shall not produce any information designated in this action as "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY" before a determination by the court from which the subpoena or order issued, unless the Party has obtained the Designating Party's permission. The Designating Party shall bear the burden and expense of seeking protection in that court of its confidential material – and nothing in these provisions should be construed as authorizing or encouraging a Receiving Party in this action to disobey a lawful directive from another court.

9. <u>A NON-PARTY'S PROTECTED MATERIAL SOUGHT TO BE PRODUCED IN</u> THIS LITIGATION

- (a) The terms of this Order are applicable to information produced by a Non-Party in this action and designated as "CONFIDENTIAL" or "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY". Such information produced by Non-Parties in connection with this litigation is protected by the remedies and relief provided by this Order. Nothing in these provisions should be construed as prohibiting a Non-Party from seeking additional protections.
- (b) In the event that a Party is required, by a valid discovery request, to produce a Non-Party's confidential information in its possession, and the Party is subject to an agreement with the Non-Party not to produce the Non-Party's confidential information, then the Party shall:
- (1) promptly notify in writing the Requesting Party and the Non-Party that some or all of the information requested is subject to a confidentiality agreement with a Non-Party;
- (2) promptly provide the Non-Party with a copy of the Stipulated Protective Order in this litigation, the relevant discovery request(s), and a reasonably specific description of the information requested; and
- (3) make the information requested available for inspection by the Non-Party.

which the subpoena or order issued.

(c) If the Non-Party fails to object or seek a protective order from this court within 14 days of receiving the notice and accompanying information, the Receiving Party may produce the Non-Party's confidential information responsive to the discovery request. If the Non-Party timely seeks a protective order, the Receiving Party shall not produce any information in its possession or control that is subject to the confidentiality agreement with the Non-Party before a determination by the court. Absent a court order to the contrary, the Non-Party shall bear the burden and expense of seeking protection in this court of its Protected Material.

10. UNAUTHORIZED DISCLOSURE OF PROTECTED MATERIAL

If a Receiving Party learns that, by inadvertence or otherwise, it has disclosed Protected Material to any person or in any circumstance not authorized under this Stipulated Protective Order, the Receiving Party must immediately (a) notify in writing the Designating Party of the unauthorized disclosures, (b) use its best efforts to retrieve all unauthorized copies of the Protected Material, (c) inform the person or persons to whom unauthorized disclosures were made of all the terms of this Order, and (d) request such person or persons to execute the "Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be Bound" that is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

11. <u>INADVERTENT PRODUCTION OF PRIVILEGED OR OTHERWISE</u> PROTECTED MATERIAL

When a Producing Party gives notice to Receiving Parties that certain inadvertently produced material is subject to a claim of privilege or other protection, the obligations of the Receiving Parties are those set forth in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(b)(5)(B).¹¹ This provision is not intended to modify whatever procedure may be established in an e-discovery order that provides for production without prior privilege review. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 502(d) and (e), insofar as the parties reach an agreement on the effect of disclosure of a

¹⁰ The purpose of this provision is to alert the interested parties to the existence of confidentiality rights of a Non-Party and to afford the Non-Party an opportunity to protect its confidentiality interests in this court.

¹¹ If information is produced in discovery that is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the party making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return or destroy the specified information and any copies it has and may not sequester, use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved. This includes a restriction against presenting the information to the court for a determination of the claim. Documents previously reviewed and produced by either party pursuant to the previous stipulated protective order need not be re-reviewed for privilege.

communication or information covered by the attorney-client privilege or work product protection,

the parties may incorporate their agreement in the stipulated protective order submitted to the court.

12.

12.1

MISCELLANEOUS

person to seek its modification by the court in the future.

12.2 Right to Assert Other Objections. By stipulating to the entry of this

Right to Further Relief. Nothing in this Order abridges the right of any

- 12.2 Right to Assert Other Objections. By stipulating to the entry of this

 Protective Order no Party waives any right it otherwise would have to object to disclosing or

 producing any information or item on any ground not addressed in this Stipulated Protective Order.

 Similarly, no Party waives any right to object on any ground to use in evidence of any of the material covered by this Protective Order.
- 12.3 <u>Export Control.</u> Disclosure of Protected Material shall be subject to all applicable laws and regulations relating to the export of technical data contained in such Protected Material, including the release of such technical data to foreign persons or nationals in the United States or elsewhere. The Producing Party shall be responsible for identifying any such controlled technical data, and the Receiving Party shall take measures necessary to ensure compliance.
- Party or a court order secured after appropriate notice to all interested persons, a Party may not file in the public record in this action any Protected Material. A Party that seeks to file under seal any Protected Material must comply with Civil Local Rule 79-5. Protected Material may only be filed under seal pursuant to a court order authorizing the sealing of the specific Protected Material at issue. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5, a sealing order will issue only upon a request establishing that the Protected Material at issue is privileged, protectable as a trade secret, or otherwise entitled to protection under the law. If a Receiving Party's request to file Protected Material under seal pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5(d) is denied by the court, then the Receiving Party may file the Protected Material in the public record pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5(e) unless otherwise instructed by the court.

13. FINAL DISPOSITION

Within 60 days after the final disposition of this action, as defined in paragraph 4,

1	each Receiving Party must return all Protected Material to the Producing Party or destroy such
2	material. As used in this subdivision, "all Protected Material" includes all copies, abstracts,
3	compilations, summaries, and any other format reproducing or capturing any of the Protected
4	Material. Whether the Protected Material is returned or destroyed, the Receiving Party must submit
5	a written certification to the Producing Party (and, if not the same person or entity, to the
6	Designating Party) by the 60 day deadline that (1) identifies (by category, where appropriate) all the
7	Protected Material that was returned or destroyed and (2) affirms that the Receiving Party has not
8	retained any copies, abstracts, compilations, summaries or any other format reproducing or capturin
9	any of the Protected Material. Notwithstanding this provision, Counsel are entitled to retain an
10	archival copy of all pleadings, motion papers, trial, deposition, and hearing transcripts, legal
11	memoranda, correspondence, deposition and trial exhibits, expert reports, attorney work product, an
12	consultant and expert work product, even if such materials contain Protected Material. Any such
13	archival copies that contain or constitute Protected Material remain subject to this Protective Order
14	as set forth in Section 4 (DURATION).
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

LA1 1919996v.1

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED, THROUGH COUNSE	L OF RECORD.
2	Respectfully submitted,	
3	Data I. Danamia at 1 2010	Dated: December 1, 2010
4		
5	/s/ M. Patricia Thayer By:	/s/ Gary N. Frischling
6	Aaron R Bleharski (SBN 240703)	Jason Sheasby (SBN 205455) Gary Frischling (SBN 130583) Thomas Jackman(SBN 267504)
7		IRELL & MANELLA LLP 1800 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 900
8		Los Angeles, CA 90067-4276 Telephone: 310-277-1010
9	Facsimile: 415-772-7400	Facsimile: 310-203-7199
10	Sandra S. Fujiyama (SBN 198125) Samuel N. Tiu (SBN 216291)	Sandra Haberny, Ph.D. (SBN 260977) IRELL & MANELLA LLP
11	Tashica T. Williams (SBN 256449) SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP	840 Newport Center Drive, Suite 400 Newport Beach, California 92660-6324
12	555 West Fifth Street, Suite 4000	Telephone: 949-760-0991 Facsimile: 949-760-5200
13	Los Angeles, California 90013 Telephone: (213) 896-6000	Attorneys for Defendant
14	Faccimile: (213) 806 6600	THE TRUSTEES OF THE UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA
15	Robert A. Van Nest (SBN 84065) Ashok Ramani (SBN 200020)	
16	N:1-1-: 1Z XI- (CDNI 220542)	
17	ZEZED 0 MANINECELLD	
18	San Francisco, CA 94111-1704	
19	Telephone: (415) 391-5400 Facsimile: (415) 397-7188	
20	Attorneys for Plaintiff GENENTECH, INC.	
21	<u> </u>	
22		
23	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORI	DERED
24		
25	DATED: <u>December 6, 2010</u>	aul S. Grewal
26	J	Jnited States Magistrate Judge
27		
28		
-5		

EXHIBIT A 1 ACKNOWLEDGMENT AND AGREEMENT TO BE BOUND 2 I, _____ [print or type full name], of 3 [print or type full address], declare under penalty of perjury that I have read 4 in its entirety and understand the Stipulated Protective Order that was issued by the United States 5 District Court for the Northern District of California on in the case of *Genentech, Inc. v.* 6 The Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania, Case No. 5:10-CV-2037-LHK-PVT. I agree to 7 comply with and to be bound by all the terms of this Stipulated Protective Order and I understand 8 and acknowledge that failure to so comply could expose me to sanctions and punishment in the 9 nature of contempt. I solemnly promise that I will not disclose in any manner any information or 10 item that is subject to this Stipulated Protective Order to any person or entity except in strict 11 compliance with the provisions of this Order. 12 I further agree to submit to the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the 13 14 Northern District of California for the purpose of enforcing the terms of this Stipulated Protective Order, even if such enforcement proceedings occur after termination of this action. 15 I hereby appo 16 17 number] as my California age 18 proceedings related to enforce 19 20 Date: 21 City and State where sworn 22 23 Printed name: [printed name 24 Signature:

oint		[print or type full name] of
	[print or ty	rpe full address and telephone
gent for servi	ce of process in connec	etion with this action or any
cement of thi	is Stipulated Protective	Order.
and signed:	_	
0 _		
e]		
	18 ATED PROTECTIVE ORD O. 5:10-CV-2037-LHK (PS	

LA1 1919996v.1

25

26

27

28

[signature]