

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

M. PATRICIA THAYER (SBN 90818)
pthayer@sidley.com
AARON R. BLEHARSKI (SBN 240703)
ableharski@sidley.com
SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
555 California Street
San Francisco, California 94104
Telephone: (415) 772-1200
Facsimile: (415) 772-7400

SANDRA S. FUJIYAMA (SBN 198125)
sfujiyama@sidley.com
SAMUEL N. TIU (SBN 216291)
stiu@sidley.com
TASHICA T. WILLIAMS (SBN 256449)
ttwilliams@sidley.com
SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
555 West Fifth Street, Suite 4000
Los Angeles, California 90013
Telephone: (213) 896-6000
Facsimile: (213) 896-6600

Attorneys for Plaintiff
GENENTECH, INC.

ROBERT A. VAN NEST (SBN 84065)
rvannest@kvn.com
ASHOK RAMANI (SBN 200020)
aramani@kvn.com
NIKKI K. VO (SBN 239543)
nvo@kvn.com
SARA B. FAULKNER (SBN 263857)
sfaulkner@kvn.com
KEKER & VAN NEST LLP
710 Sansome Street
San Francisco, CA 94111-1704
Telephone: (415) 391-5400
Facsimile: (415) 397-7188

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION**

GENENTECH, INC.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

THE TRUSTEES OF THE UNIVERSITY OF
PENNSYLVANIA, a Pennsylvania non-profit
corporation,

Defendant.

) Case No: 5:10-CV-2037-LHK (PSG)
)
) **FOURTH JOINT STIPULATION AND**
) **ORDER TO EXTEND THE**
) **DEADLINES FOR (A) COMPLIANCE**
) **WITH A PORTION OF THE COURT'S**
) **NOVEMBER 22 ORDER AND (B) TO**
) **MOVE TO RECONSIDER THE**
) **COURT'S ORDER**
)
) **Hearing Date: TBD**
) **Time: TBD**
) **Judge: Hon. Magistrate Paul S. Grewal**
)
)
)
)

1 WHEREAS on December 28, 2010, plaintiff Genentech, Inc. (“Genentech”) and defendant
2 the Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania (“the University”) filed a Third Joint Stipulation and
3 Proposed Order to Extend the Deadline for Compliance with a portion of the Court’s November 22
4 Order (Dkt. No. 70) from December 28, 2010 to January 11, 2011; and

5 WHEREAS the parties wanted the deadline extension to discuss whether there is a way to
6 limit Genentech’s production of regulatory materials, other than the BLA Submissions (as that term
7 is used in the Court’s November 22 Order), to avoid the production of irrelevant materials; and

8 WHEREAS, the parties are continuing to address the proper scope for production of the
9 regulatory materials and believe that an additional extension would benefit their discussion; and

10 WHEREAS, the parties are continuing to arrange an appropriate manner in which to proceed
11 with the production; and

12 WHEREAS, if the parties are unable to reach agreement, Genentech reserves the right to
13 move for reconsideration of the Court’s Order;

14 THE PARTIES THEREFORE AGREE as follows:

- 15 1. The deadline for Genentech to comply with the following sentence in the Court’s
16 November 22, 2010 Order, now set for January 11, 2011, is extended an additional
17 three weeks to February 1, 2011:

18 “In addition, to the extent there is responsive electronic data other than
19 the BLA Submissions in Defendant’s possession, custody or control
20 that is responsive to Document Request No. 36, Plaintiff shall either
21 produce the unredacted data to Defendant by November 30, 2010, or
22 else file a declaration by that date showing why it cannot do so and
23 setting forth the earliest possible date that it will be able to do so.”

- 24 2. The deadline for Genentech to file a Motion for Reconsideration of the Court’s Order,
25 now set for January 11, 2011, is extended an additional three weeks to February 1,
26 2011.
27 3. No other provision of the Court’s November 22, 2010 Order is changed.
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The deadline for Genentech to comply with the following sentence in the Court's November 22, 2010 Order, now set for January 11, 2011, is extended an additional three weeks to February 1, 2011:

"In addition, to the extent there is responsive electronic data other than the BLA Submissions in Defendant's possession, custody or control that is responsive to Document Request No. 36, Plaintiff shall either produce the unredacted data to Defendant by November 30, 2010, or else file a declaration by that date showing why it cannot do so and setting forth the earliest possible date that it will be able to do so."

2. The deadline for Genentech to file a Motion for Reconsideration of the Court's Order, now set for January 11, 2011, is extended an additional three weeks to February 1, 2011.

3. No other provision of the Court's November 22, 2010 Order is changed.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 14, 2011



PAUL S GREWAL
United States Magistrate Judge